

CS Options

Events: Core Strategy Options for Consideration – Chapter 16 Transport and Accessibility

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
359514	Mr Edward Gerry	Planning Policy Manager New Forest District Council	CSO18780	16		General Comment	The development proposed in Christchurch and East Dorset's Core Strategy is likely to have a significant impact on the road network in New Forest District, including on the A31, the A35 (including through Lyndhurst) and the B3347 Avon Valley route. It is important that the authorities in South East Dorset work in conjunction with authorities in the South West Hampshire and beyond to address cross boundary issues. Development proposals in Christchurch and East Dorset must not result in unacceptable conditions on the transport network in New Forest District.			1453
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18286	16		General Comment	ETAG considers it essential to find a solution to the District's problem of above average car ownership and below average availability of public transport and the economic, social and environmental consequences. The location of new development (for housing or employment) should ensure that it is selected where it can be guaranteed that there will be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased frequency and reliability of public transport coupled with improved and integrated routing to encourage less reliance upon private cars. Buses need to run to places where people want to go and at times when people want to get there. • Integrated housing, employment, shops, community services and open spaces so that the need to travel is reduced. • Sustainable access to work and leisure pursuits through the enhancement, creation and promotion of trailways, cycle routes and footpaths. Such facilities should enable access to open green spaces and the countryside. Costs will be offset by savings to the NHS. 			1453

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
361026	Mr Steve Hellier	Network Planning Manager Highways Agency	CSO17788	16		General Comment	16. Transport and Accessibility The Agency considers that this chapter presents a relatively limited range of transport policy and proposal options and it is assumed that the majority of scheme options are directed to the sections dealing with site specific allocations.			1453
361028	Ms Helen Patton	Head of Policy and Plans New Forest National Park Authority	CSO18945	16		General Comment	As with the Christchurch Urban Extension, the Authority is concerned that the expansion of the Airport and development of employment land will create additional cross Park traffic (e.g. A35, A31). The Authority considers that it is essential that the traffic impacts of the development are properly addressed. The Authority supports New Forest District Council in the view that there is a need for a direct link to the A338 Bournemouth Spur Road and for improved public transport to the airport, in order to minimise adverse impacts on the roads.			1453
361044	Mr John Nichols		CSO23	16.2	Object		<p>1. I support what has been said but it is woefully short of the overall requirement. The local population needs to be able to access the area as a whole. Business needs this same access, and others need to be able to transit through our area. Tourists want to absorb the area and not sit in queues for some or all of a day. The A35 needs to cater for its role in supporting this issue.</p> <p>2. The A31 has a lot of dual carriageway until it gets to East Dorset. A dual carriageway is needed from Ferndown to Bere Regis.</p> <p>3. We need something greater than the A31 to connect our area with the national network. Ideally a motorway north to the M4 or M5 alleviating the A34. The problem is much greater than that of East Dorset and Christchurch but is part and parcel of our quality of life.</p> <p>4. The B3073 Christchurch Road and Ringwood Road cannot support the traffic volume now and have been unable to for many years. Ferndown is a traffic jam for many days of the week. When the A338 is closed, and it is on a regular basis our area reaches gridlock extremely quickly. This must have a serious effect on our environment,</p>			1455

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>which we are seeking to maintain or improve upon.</p> <p>5. The light railway/tramway idea will tick so many boxes it must not be ruled out at this stage. Whilst one can understand a totally joined up local network cannot be rolled out straight away Christchurch and East Dorset has the opportunity to take this beyond a vision and implement a first phase. Something like Wimborne -Uddens industrial estate - Ferndown industrial estate - Ferndown - Airport industrial estate - Bournemouth Airport - Christchurch. A feasibility study should be conducted, to determine this idea. New workable ideas is what is needed.</p> <p>6. Building a cycleway and enhancing the airport perimeter on the B3073, whilst welcomed, is not improving the roadway and its many users.</p> <p>7. As stated in other chapters Bournemouth and Poole will need to be involved in any bigger picture for the area and ultimately both the Isle of Purbeck and New Forest authorities will have a part to play.</p> <p>8. If one looks around the country integration has been successfully achieved. For us to avoid this measure will set us back for our future and all the well intentioned words will count for nothing.</p> <p>9. Finally, we cannot be left isolated solely in the hands of the A31 and 338, we need to be connected to a motorway, north to south.</p>			
484187	Mr R Tindall		CSO545	16.2	Object		<p>Locally, the options for public transport are non-existent.</p> <p>Verwood has an irregular bus service, which is slowly being removed.</p> <p>No other options for transportation have been defined by the council, leaving people with little choice but to further congest and pollute the environment with our cars.</p>			1455
360223	Mr Terry Atkinson	General Secretary Christchurch Chamber of Trade &	CSO17850	16.2		General Comment	<p>Please note that we would be interested to see the evidence that the motor car alone is responsible for the incidence of obesity in the current population.</p> <p>In reality, there is no evidence that any form of</p>			1455

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		Commerce					public transport for short distances can be as attractive as the motor car.			
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO595	16.6	Support		<p>Although I support the improvement of cycleway and coordinated travel, it is important that infrastructure such as roads are adequate for the use of the additional number of commuters. Having said that it is important to be realist and realise that if a large number of houses are to be built in the East Dorset area, north of the A31, many of the new residents will have to travel to Bournemouth and Poole which is recognised as the main employment area for East Dorset. This was recognised by the defunct RSS.</p> <p>The Highways Agency at session 4/7 at the Examination in Public of the SWRSS stated its concern of any large scale development in a band stretching from Ferndown, Wimborne and Corfe Mullen because, and I quote "significant impact on the A31 Trunk road given the remote location of these settlements from employment centres." The Agency then went on to say "if further growth in the volume of traffic is incurred, the A31 will no longer effectively fulfil its strategic function in respect of regional connectivity" Although I have been told by East Dorset District Council that the Highways Agency has now withdrawn its objections to "urban extensions" at Wimborne, Ferndown and Corfe Mullen, it is in my opinion, a strange change of heart since the congestion on the A31 has certainly not improved and there are no funds from Central Government for improvements to this road.</p> <p>With regard to any new roads being built I would suggest that this plan is fraught with difficulty owing to the many environment restraints on land within the area. This was highlighted by the Scoping Report 008583 by Buro Happold in which they state on page 83 and in regard to A31 to Poole Corridor "environment restraints within the study area particularly RAMSAR, SPA, SAG. and SSSI designations seriously constrain the ability to provide new roads links"</p> <p>In my view any plans to increase the number of</p>			1459

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							new houses in Wimborne and Colehill by the numbers suggested in this Core Strategy are misguided.			
474490	Mrs Sheila Bourton	Chairman Keep Wimborne Green	CSO912	16.6	Support		<p>Although supporting the plans for cycleway and co-ordinated travel packages, we consider it is questionable that providing new road links would be possible due to environmental restraints, as highlighted in the scoping report by Buro Happold in relation to the A31 to Poole corridor.</p> <p>It has been recognised by the now defunct South West Regional Spatial Strategy that the main employment areas in South East Dorset were situated at Bournemouth and Poole and as such there has always been a large number of working aged residents travelling from the dormitory areas of Wimborne, Ferndown, and Corfe Mullen to Bournemouth and Poole; it is important to remember that Wimborne does not have any rail link and cycling is not really a feasible proposition bearing in mind the remoteness of Wimborne from Bournemouth and Poole.</p> <p>At the time of the Examination in Public concerning the SWRSS, the Highways Agency stated their concern of any large scale new housing development in a band stretching from Ferndown, Wimborne and Corfe Mullen given the remote location of these settlements from employment centres. The agency was also concerned on the impact on the already seriously congested A31(T) and said " if further growth in the volume of traffic is incurred, the A31 will no longer effectively fulfil its strategic function in respect of regional connectivity"</p> <p>Up to date information shows that there will be no funding available in the foreseeable future for the duelling of the A31T in our area therefore, in our view, plans to locate nearly 1000 new homes in the Wimborne & Colehill are misguided.</p>			1459
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2417	16.6	Object		Lack of mention of congestion at Lymington Road			1459

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498571	Mr John Shave	Acting Chair East Dorset Community Partnership Traffic & Transport TAG	CSO3341	16.6	Object		<p>Need to encourage young people to use buses by reducing fares and more publicity of existing schemes.</p> <p>Need to examine ways of encouraging bus use which pays.</p> <p>Encourage cycling by reducing traffic speeds and the provision of paths. Cycle paths should be suitable for use in all weathers and after dark; they need to be surfaced and lit. This makes them suitable for cycling to work and school as well as recreational use.</p> <p>Cycle links are needed to make links from existing routes to employment areas, schools and shops.</p> <p>Summary of improvements needed:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reducing traffic speeds - changes to roads and speed limits, 2. Cycleway improvements; 3. Public transport improvements 			1459
498027	Mr Mark Keighley	Business Development Manager Bournemouth Transport Ltd	CSO3320	16.8	Support					1462
483371	Mr R Davies		CSO284	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
487902	Mr and Mrs B L Thompson		CSO654	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489388	Mr TJ Robins		CSO696	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489397	Mrs Kim Church		CSO702	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
489411	Mr JMT		CSO709	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Morris			1						
489426	Mr P Jackson		CSO715	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
489534	Mr David McGuinness		CSO732	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
489571	Mrs KP Sutton		CSO742	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489602	Mr Nigel Stride		CSO757	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
489713	Mr Robert Davison		CSO766	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489720	Mrs Joyce Bellisario		CSO772	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
489729	Mr Malcolm Baker		CSO778	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
359928	Mrs M.A. TAYLOR		CSO784	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489743	Mr D Russell		CSO793	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
489748	Mr Andrew Allen		CSO803	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489767	Mr J D Boutle		CSO829	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
489768	Mr and Mrs R Hall		CSO835	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489771	Tony STARK		CSO843	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489750	Mrs and Mr R Bundy		CSO846	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489898	Mrs Elizabeth Daw		CSO852	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489924	Mr and Mrs CD Culpin		CSO858	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
489996	Miss W Hammett		CSO865	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490006	Mr Gary Tharme		CSO871	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490051	Mr and Mrs Chalmers		CSO882	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490084	Mrs J Stone		CSO888	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490090	Mr M Mills		CSO894	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
490093	Mr John Goodman		CSO900	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490094	Mrs		CSO904	Preferred	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Caroline Jones			Option TA 1						
490099	Mr B Patching		CSO911	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
474490	Mrs Sheila Bourton	Chairman Keep Wimborne Green	CSO913	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		We have reservations, however, as to whether there will be sufficient contributions from developers for the necessary transport infrastructure.			1463
490400	Mrs G D Field		CSO950	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490507	Ruscombe Smyth-Pigott		CSO956	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490527	Corfe Mullen Parish Council	Corfe Mullen Parish Council	CSO996	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Whilst the Parish Council supports the tariff-based approach it believes that in certain circumstances the aggregating of all tariffs may make development sites unviable. There should therefore be flexibility allowed for officers of the district council to reduce the overall cost if it is judged to be in the public interest that a site be brought forward. The Parish Council understands that viability tests called 'the three dragons' are used in some locations which may be appropriate.			1463
359636	Mr Chris Bartlett		CSO2622	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
360714	Mrs Carol Morgan		CSO2060	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Moving businesses already on industrial estates in or near towns out of the town is counter productive in terms of jobs and accessibility. 500+ houses and schools etc is in effect another village and will not connect easily with the town of Wimborne. Take a look at Poundbury, good idea but now far too big.			1463
361055	Mr		CSO1030	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	David Oakley			Option TA 1						
361099	Mrs Lynette Payne		CSO1261	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		A good number of these options, especially in Wimborne and Parley, are on the edges of the urban areas. Building in these locations changes the whole ethos of the area. When you leave Wimborne you see fields, trees and rivers dotted with thatched cottages. Even along Leigh Road, there is a definite rural feel, with true separation between Wimborne and Colehill. Developing along here, or Cranborne Road will dramatically change the area, for the worst. This in turn reduces people's quality of life, as we see our area creep closer to urbanisation, field by field. Why can't some of the brownfield sites be used instead?			1463
361111	Mr Raymond Brown		CSO2092	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Unless you have a 'free travel concession', public transport is very expensive and often limited (e.g. Poole - Corfe Mullen - Wimborne - no buses during the evenings). Realistically will people stop using their cars to do the weekly grocery shop?			1463
361170	Mr T Harvey		CSO2872	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
475534	Mr Anthony Elliott		CSO1227	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
484088	Mr David Price		CSO2547	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
489582	Mr John Swift		CSO2535	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490551	Mrs J Kidd		CSO1014	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
490561	Mr		CSO1034	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Ian King			Option TA 1						
490570	Mrs Patricia Ryan		CSO1039	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490823	Mr Ian Jones	Clerk Ferndown Town Council	CSO1906	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		It is essential that the road infrastructure is addressed before any developments on these additional industrial areas are agreed. The highways in the town are inadequate and unable to cope with the current number of traffic movements and additional industrial expansion will have a detrimental effect on the road network. Improvements to Canford Bottom Roundabout and the dualling the A31 from Ferndown to Merley should be completed before any further development of the Town is considered. Also any monies collected should not find their way to a central pot but should be allocated specifically for highway improvements within the Ferndown locality.			1463
490982	Mr KG James		CSO1081	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491000	Mr and Mrs DW and FA Mallett		CSO1087	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491016	Mrs D Abel		CSO1093	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491020	Mr Simon Jordan		CSO1099	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491034	Mr Kenneth Dalglish		CSO1106	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
491080	Mr J C		CSO1113	Preferred Option TA	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Hanney			1						
491150	Mr C D Allen		CSO1133	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
491163	Mr Butterfield		CSO1140	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491171	Mr and Mrs Plewka		CSO1146	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
491173	Ms G Burningham		CSO1152	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
491174	Mrs O Mead		CSO1206	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		West Parley already is overcrowded!			1463
491232	Mr Keith Barnett		CSO1159	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491272	D Steel		CSO1176	Preferred Option TA 1		General Comment	Funding will not help			1463
491299	Mr and Mrs Shaw		CSO1191	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
491301	Mr Norman TAYLOR		CSO1193	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491311	Mr Kevin Gilling		CSO1203	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491312	Mr and Mrs D W Lulham		CSO1200	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491318	Mrs Jackie Ball		CSO1246	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		New Road is over used as it is; with a possible extra 500 houses = 750 extra cars (approx) the roads around Parley would be grid locked. If the Spur Road is closed through accidents, all traffic comes via New Road. This leads to chaos.			1463
491383	Mr Stanley Havill		CSO1243	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
491392	Mrs Lesley Wilson		CSO1283	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491401	Mr S Ost		CSO1280	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The local roads, schools, hospitals would be unable to cope with extra movements of traffic and people coming into the area.			1463
491436	Mr and Mrs G Martin		CSO1289	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
491446	Mr E J Clempson		CSO1295	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
491451	R Fletcher		CSO1302	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491465	Mr John Dinsdale		CSO1309	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
494598	Mrs Jean Pedley		CSO1331	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		It will be imperative to get the infrastructure correct if any of the above materialises.			1463
494604	Mr John Le Rossignol		CSO1348	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
494623	Mr & Mrs R Perkins		CSO1344	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Developing east of New Road areas FWP 3, 4 and 5 will create a small town which has little or no infrastructure to support a development of this			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							size. There is no need for additional shops or commercial development as there are empty shops in Ferndown centre and we have industrial units in Ferndown and at Hurn Airport. No developer would be willing to contribute the amount of money required to create a satisfactory road scheme especially at Parley Crossroads which would have to withstand the brunt of any further development within the area.			
494720	Mrs PC Sayer		CSO1350	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
494723	Mr D Brittain		CSO1353	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
494726	Mr M J Martin		CSO1360	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
494736	Mr S Cox		CSO1371	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
494741	Mrs Sybil Eastham		CSO1372	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495269	Mr E Wigman		CSO1395	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
495348	Mr and Mrs RJ Veal		CSO1407	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
495369	S W Wood		CSO1412	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495376	Mr AG Poole		CSO1460	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
495378	Ms J A'Barrow and Mr B Wade		CSO1421	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
495429	Ms L Mansbridge		CSO1430	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495437	Mr Andrew Scott		CSO1437	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
495446	Mrs Nicola Anne Lowe		CSO1444	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495453	Mr R Walden		CSO1450	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495460	Mr Steve Scamell		CSO1456	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495485	Mrs Jane-Louise Roberts		CSO1469	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495493	MJ McManus		CSO1474	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495549	Mr Simon Rowberry		CSO1489	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
495554	Mrs Nesta Small		CSO1495	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495562	Mr MJ Banting		CSO1527	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495625	Mr		CSO1554	Preferred		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Michael McMath			Option TA 1						
495662	Mr M Abbott		CSO1561	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
495680	Mr J M Brown		CSO1769	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
495971	Mr T A Reith		CSO2602	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496188	Mr RE Vogel		CSO1643	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
496479	Charlotte Dixon		CSO1731	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496612	Mr and Mrs JP Lovell		CSO1916	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496661	Mr Isger		CSO1836	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496704	Mr Davies		CSO1843	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Inadequate medical facilities and schools, existing road system clearly under pressure. No where for youths except to congregate outside local shops.			1463
496708	Mr and Mrs Z M Radwanski		CSO1853	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
496747	K W Walker		CSO1859	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496749	Mr		CSO1865	Preferred	Support		If developers price in 'infrastructure/transport'			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	J S Davidson			Option TA 1			improvements no part of that cost can be borne by the purchasers of affordable housing. Also the Council picking up part of the tab would defeat the object of the exercise.			
496751	Mr A J Leggatt		CSO1870	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
496760	N K M Stephens		CSO1877	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496764	Mr P S Tripp		CSO1883	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496785	Mrs S Lovelock		CSO1889	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496958	Mrs J Beech		CSO1953	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
496978	Mr I Holmes		CSO1955	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I do not believe that the local infrastructure will ever be updated to reflect the development needs.			1463
496987	Mrs M Marshall		CSO1963	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
497014	Mrs Mary Haw		CSO1969	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
497022	Mrs J D Newbold		CSO1976	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		So long as road issues, schools, shops etc are present developers should first improve Parley Cross centre. Buses must be a priority. The Green Belt to be retained as first priority.			1463
497026	Doreen Smith		CSO2022	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
497038	Mrs H A Davidson		CSO2003	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
497051	Tovey		CSO2028	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
497056	Mrs Gillian Miles		CSO2043	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
497060	Mrs Mary Tuffrey		CSO2147	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
497089	Mr Frank A Soan		CSO2120	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
497158	B S Newman		CSO2152	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
497173	D Dixon		CSO2163	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I personally think you should offer to the residents of this area a proposal of roads and infrastructure before asking the public to commit accepting your planning for more housing as shown on your plans. Without more building the New Road is inadequate to take more traffic, when the recession has passed there is bound to be more cars and lorries using New Road. This plan you have put forward does not constitute good planning.			1463
497182	Mrs Margaret Muir		CSO2185	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Transport issues, school issues, improvements can be promised, but may not work and cause more transport and traffic increase.			1463
497184	Mr Hilling		CSO2230	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
497223	Mr AJ		CSO2237	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Marvin			1						
497239	Mrs Martine Lewis		CSO2264	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498044	Mrs Carolyne Banks		CSO2692	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Transport needs to be sorted out centrally - to get something that works properly - this is the biggest showstopper to all of these schemes - the current roads will not support any more traffic.			1463
498047	Mr CD Bradford		CSO2674	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498062	Mr Nick Crawford		CSO2764	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
498078	G Sims		CSO2737	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498125	Mr and Mrs P Dashwood		CSO2815	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498169	Mrs D WEAVER		CSO2913	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
498184	Mrs Angela Barker		CSO2940	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
359856	Mrs B Breeze		CSO5765	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
359895	Mr Jeff Reece		CSO6068	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Road Infrastructure needs to be tackled before any development takes place.			1463
359908	Mr F.D.A		CSO6289	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Revill			1						
360037	Mr Dave Barnes		CSO4063	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
360060	Mr G.M Edwards		CSO5432	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
360098	Mr John L Robinson		CSO4613	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
360190	Mr John Cullen	Barrack Road (West Parley) Residents Association	CSO4284	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
360685	Mr M.P Hose		CSO4655	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361041	Mr & Mrs C Hampton		CSO4035	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361049	Mr Brian Roper		CSO4504	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361278	Mrs Nicola Hoar		CSO3750	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361318	Mr F.L Marsh		CSO6252	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The bus service would need to be improved e.g. by restoration of a direct bus to the Bournemouth area from Corfe Mullen.			1463
475517	Mrs Sarah Collins		CSO6124	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
475530	Mr George		CSO5165	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Phillips			1						
477822	Ms Susan Rayment		CSO6793	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO3111	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Transport infrastructure still needs to catch up with previous development!			1463
494596	Mr Sperling		CSO5856	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
497944	Mrs G Salway		CSO4187	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498008	Mr John Salway		CSO4196	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498268	Mr and Mrs R Cullen		CSO3031	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498270	Mr and Mrs P Herrington		CSO3021	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498271	Ms Mandy Cheeseman		CSO3093	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498274	P Cornwell		CSO3039	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		This 'Ferndown and West Parley Options' document is very weighted to housing in West Parley. Most of these options will create significant additional traffic volumes at Parley Cross, which already struggles with the existing volumes.			1463
498280	Mr and Mrs G Norris		CSO3047	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498281	D R and M E Fitzhenry		CSO3054	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
498335	Mr and Mrs Lester		CSO3237	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
498336	Mr & Mrs A Basterfield		CSO3076	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498338	Joan Furniss		CSO3087	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		The extra traffic on our roads is not viable.			1463
498341	Ronald B Diggle		CSO3098	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
498359	Mr Brian Miles CBE		CSO3117	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498421	Mr Jeremy Hett		CSO3183	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498446	Mr W A Murphy		CSO3218	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498455	Mrs Rosemary Dark		CSO3239	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498470	Mr Mike Baynham		CSO3247	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
498495	Mr John Williams		CSO3746	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
498540	R		CSO3299	Preferred	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Crawford			Option TA 1						
498548	Messrs Sewell		CSO3357	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
498571	Mr John Shave	Acting Chair East Dorset Community Partnership Traffic & Transport TAG	CSO3340	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Any significant housing development in East Dorset will require transport improvements. As adequate funding is unlikely to be available from Central Government, developers must make a contribution as a condition of the planning approval. In many cases the cost of the required improvements will be prohibitive and hence housing development should not proceed.			1463
498699	Mr and Mrs P J Edkins		CSO3368	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498706	Mr Darren Schmidt		CSO3375	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498711	Mr Tam Chant		CSO3420	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498828	Mr Paul Brady		CSO3415	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
498871	Mrs Laura Jean Heaslip		CSO3432	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498996	Mrs M E Clarke		CSO3481	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498999	Mrs M Weller		CSO3448	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
499019	Mr		CSO3464	Preferred	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	William Legg			Option TA 1						
499035	Mr John Pelang		CSO3480	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
499040	Mr K Grimshaw		CSO3489	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
499044	Mrs Carolyn Maskell		CSO3511	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499049	Mr and Mrs Worsfold		CSO3499	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
499065	David Stacey		CSO3507	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499231	Mrs A Lathbury		CSO3566	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499236	Mr J Pipe		CSO3564	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499261	Mrs Norma Jackson		CSO3632	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		However, infrastructure will need to be in place and committed to before any houses are built.			1463
499271	Mrs P Giles		CSO3629	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499291	R S Welch		CSO3691	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499355	Mrs Meredith		CSO3698	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				1						
499367	Mrs R M C Coward		CSO3706	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499384	Mr A I ROSE		CSO3738	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499560	Mr and Mrs Tickner		CSO3840	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499596	Mr R Palin		CSO3856	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499683	Mr S Crotch-Harvey		CSO3884	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499731	R Beesley		CSO3888	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499732	Mr and Mrs A J James		CSO3895	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
499745	Mr M C Tompkins		CSO3904	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
499753	Mrs S E Stringer		CSO3910	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499761	Mrs M Q Saunders		CSO3918	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		No. It is not practical.			1463
499772	Julia Williams		CSO3938	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
499788	Mr and Mrs Halford		CSO3954	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
499810	Mrs A Clark		CSO3961	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499873	Mr Graham Holt		CSO4007	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
499900	Mr D A Gwillam		CSO3995	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500060	Mr Stuart Piddock		CSO4093	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500077	Mr & Mrs P Olivo		CSO4118	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500082	Mr S Harding		CSO4128	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		The roads would not be able to cope with the extra traffic this would cause. The road we live on is extremely busy at all times of the day already. We are a young couple who made a decision to move to a semi rural area. Just because most properties are bungalows doesn't mean only old people want to live here.			1463
500115	Mrs Sylvia McIntyre		CSO4142	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500137	Mrs Shelagh Hickinbottom		CSO4151	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500143	Mr G Wright		CSO4162	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I would prefer no building but as I have a house and love(?) the area I cannot vote for somebody if housing is needed not to have the opportunity.			1463
500196	Mike & Ruth		CSO4166	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Smith			Option TA 1						
500204	Mr and Mrs S T and J P Terrill		CSO4173	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500222	Mr S Hartley		CSO4456	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500261	Mrs Sharp		CSO4202	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Inadequate infrastructure. Traffic congestion already - don't need any more. Don't believe that sufficient investment will be made to alleviate these problems.			1463
500307	Mrs J Wolverson		CSO4208	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500350	Mr P H Bartlett		CSO4236	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500360	Mr K Nippard		CSO4259	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
500361	Mr and Miss N and A Middleton and Turner		CSO4281	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500391	Ms Jennifer Mogg		CSO4340	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
500394	Mrs Sandra Howes		CSO4295	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500427	Mrs D J LYONS		CSO4326	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500454	Mr Stephen Protheroe		CSO4335	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500558	Mr A Baker		CSO4375	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500570	Mr J.D Head		CSO4365	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500580	Mr & Mrs John Russell		CSO4387	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500592	Mr and Mrs Ash		CSO4383	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500630	Mr and Mrs S Jones		CSO4399	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500660	Andrea Thomson		CSO4410	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500672	Mrs P E Budding		CSO4416	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500680	Mr and Mrs M Maybury		CSO4427	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500685	Mrs E Hedges		CSO4438	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500694	Mr and Mrs J R Mansbridge		CSO4468	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Homes and jobs increase movement of people. Therefore the roads must be able to cater for an increase in use. Public transport or company coaches to places of work - like J P Morgan at Littledown.			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Canford Bottom needs a FLYOVER - nothing less. Think of the flyover at Ringwood and what a bottleneck that was years ago. With a lot more homes in and around West Parley something should be done to alleviate traffic going down New Road. A second road and an additional bridge is the answer there.			
500697	Mr Thomas SMITH		CSO4511	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500706	Mr and Mrs R Jeans		CSO4568	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500720	Mrs VALLIER		CSO4559	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500746	Mrs J Lothian		CSO4571	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		To force through their plans once finished. Wimborne and Colehill Housing leaflet Road costs are always passed on and then have leverage if developers pay for road improvement. Comments also made on Employment Leaflet:- No, once the road is made, they will steam roller over building objections.			1463
500748	Mrs Lauren Matthews		CSO4607	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500751	Mr Christopher Halford		CSO4586	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
500766	Mr Brian Raine		CSO4627	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500786	Mrs Janet Toomer		CSO4657	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
500807	Mr and Mrs		CSO4670	Preferred	Support		Paragraph 11.9 of the Core Strategy options			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	K E EYRES			Option TA 1			document for Verwood and West Moors states that Verwood has a GOOD ROAD LINK to the A31(T) and the A338. This road, part of the B3081, is TOTALLY INADEQUATE for the volume of traffic it carries in both directions. An unacceptable number of FATAL ACCIDENTS between Verwood and Ringwood have occurred in recent years. This road should be UPGRADED TO DUAL CARRIAGEWAY before any further development is allowed in Verwood. Furthermore, most of the other routes through the town which link to the B3081 - Manor Road, Lake Road, Woodlinken Drive, Burnbake Road, Newtown Road, Newtown Lane - to name but a few, are in a TERRIBLE STATE OF REPAIR, mainly due to adjacent development accessing the underground services, followed by POOR QUALITY, and often TEMPORARY repairs to the road surface. Again these issues need to be addressed before further development is permitted. A new Secondary school is a definite requirement for a Town of Verwood's size, but it must have ADEQUATE VEHICULAR ACCESS. Surely the land to the West of Trinity School, close to the Southern and Western relief roads, would be a better option than the Emmanuel Campus. This would give some relief to the long suffering residents of St. Michaels Road, Howe Lane and Margards Lane.			
500810	Mrs Elizabeth Le Rossignol		CSO4679	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500818	Mr J Ladd		CSO4702	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		TA 1 is vital but they need to be in place BEFORE any building.			1463
500836	Mrs Sylvia Hines		CSO4744	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
500903	Mr and Mrs		CSO4803	Preferred	Support		Any developments must be preceded by			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	S and R Harris			Option TA 1			improved road infrastructure.			
500906	Mr and Mrs Scholes		CSO4756	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
500919	V Thomas		CSO4764	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
500933	Mr Michael Matthews		CSO4780	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501008	Mrs P Norrie		CSO4820	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501012	Mrs Louise Arnold		CSO4904	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501014	Mr J Morris		CSO4841	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501015	Mr & Mrs W McMillan		CSO4946	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501018	Mr Robert P Hand		CSO4867	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501026	Mrs Doreen Hiscock		CSO4852	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501039	Dr and Mrs Peter Liebling		CSO4890	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501044	Mr and Mrs McMahan		CSO4889	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				1						
501051	Mr Eric Franklin		CSO4907	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501056	Dr and Mrs M S Taylor		CSO4909	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501071	Mr G Dingle		CSO4924	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501080	Ms Olivia Collins		CSO4935	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501106	Mr John Victor Rogers		CSO4964	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501114	Mr John Lee		CSO5005	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501118	Mr Ron Cook		CSO5063	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501120	Mr and Mrs P B Bampton		CSO4982	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501123	Mr and Mrs Foster		CSO5003	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501127	Dr Stephen Riley		CSO5033	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501135	Charlie Wassell		CSO5074	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Developers should contribute to improving facilities.			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501136	Miss M Parker		CSO5052	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501143	Rita Whitfield		CSO5069	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501191	Mr and Mrs Redman		CSO5080	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501194	Mrs Colton		CSO5102	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501195	Mr A Skinner		CSO5097	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501197	Mrs H Collop		CSO5107	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501210	Whitehorn		CSO5121	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501227	Mr John Collop		CSO5133	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501234	Mr and Mrs A Grieve		CSO5142	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501235	Mr and Mrs J Churchward		CSO5139	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501284	Mrs J Waters		CSO5153	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I think the ides of building the amount of houses stated above is ludicrous. Traffic already on the Christchurch Road from Hurn to the junction of Christchurch Road and Ringwood Road is horrendous almost all day long. What are the			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							developers thinking of? Parley Cross is already a bottle neck at almost every hour of the day The volume of traffic is already at saturation point. Leave our area as it is.			
501324	Ms Julie Light		CSO5205	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501327	Mr M E Perkins		CSO5181	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501335	Mrs J J Bassett		CSO5191	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501342	Mr & Mrs G Alexander		CSO5201	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		There is too many people and cars in the area. 'We don't want anymore'.			1463
501351	Mr and Mrs R D'Cruze		CSO5243	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501353	Mr and Mrs Ireland		CSO5215	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501362	Mr G P Hough		CSO5250	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501364	Mr M Devetta		CSO5891	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501369	Mrs S Hunwicks		CSO5266	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501380	Mr Paul Ashton		CSO5273	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501386	Mrs A Wilkinson		CSO5279	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501485	Mrs J Jackson		CSO5306	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501488	Mr Chris Lamb		CSO5341	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501496	Mrs J E Laurence		CSO5334	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I am very concerned regarding traffic at Parley Cross. The traffic has got horrendous there has to be a speed limit there, it is very dangerous.			1463
501502	Mr Michael WAREHAM		CSO5389	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501503	Mr N F Stripp		CSO5368	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501508	Mr Martin Davies		CSO5393	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501510	Mrs J Swingler		CSO5405	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501520	Mrs Z Merrifield		CSO5469	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501523	Rita Gilbert		CSO5512	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501530	Mr and Mrs J Archer		CSO5470	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Support the concerns			1463
359598	Mr		CSO8013	Preferred	Support		Unfortunately whatever is finally decided on the			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	A Ketchley			Option TA 1			following well known saying will occur: 'You can please some of the people all the time, You can please all of the people some of the time, But you cannot please all of the people all of the time!' I wish you well in whatever is decided. Some will see it as inevitable due to the area's population increases, whereas others will see it as a necessary evil, and some will accept it without question			
359873	Mrs M Hughes		CSO8645	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		A31 Canford Bottom needs to be bridged if increased traffic from new housing takes place; it just can't take any further loading (or a dual carriage way up to A350 from Ferndown).			1463
359889	Mr and Mrs P Clark		CSO8511	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
359977	Miss M.G. Earp		CSO8101	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
360618	Mr David Chapman		CSO9239	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361015	Mr and Mrs M.S and C.E Hack		CSO8534	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361113	Mr Alan Meade		CSO7223	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		.I like the rate of affordable housing to private homes. We all know that there is a shortage. Jobs will be created, money brought in, good for all in East Dorset, Christchurch			1463
361123	Mr Iain STEVENSON		CSO8261	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361206	Mr Iain Robinson		CSO9034	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		As housing has become unaffordable for many young people, making developers contribute to transport improvements will just mean the costs			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							passed on and make the new homes even more out of their price bracket. Public transport fails at the moment to connect where people live to where they work, after all people tend to go to work more often than they go shopping and thus cars are needed for employment and having their own transport makes buses irrelevant and less in touch with the 24/7 and shift work employment patterns.			
475502	Mr Richard Croom		CSO7736	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
476561	Mr Kevin Horton		CSO9197	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491285	C W and B J FREWIN		CSO7343	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501531	Mr Boyd Read		CSO5495	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501533	Mr A I Pearce		CSO5476	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Verwood has one road through it, and one road out of it. Traffic has already increased enormously and is becoming unmanageable. Parking in Verwood is poor. People will park on roadsides. There will be traffic chaos. Verwood needs more infrastructure and facilities before any new building of houses takes place. Eastworth Road, West end is used by hundreds of parents and children by schools.			1463
501537	Stalley		CSO5543	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501542	Mr and Mrs STRACHAN		CSO5544	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501544	Mrs		CSO5614	Preferred	Support		No infrastructure - schools, roads, no			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Susan Read			Option TA 1			employment for so many new families. Green Belt vital for quality of life. Farmland valuable as a future resource. Better options are available. Parkland and rugby pitches on WCM 5 would be acceptable.			
501560	Mr Christopher Clarke		CSO5601	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501567	Mr Trevor Openshaw		CSO5637	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501574	Mr & Mrs B S Nippard		CSO5643	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501583	Mrs Jean Russell		CSO5695	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		This has been considered before, e.g. when the new houses were built in Howe Lane and beyond St. Michael's Cottage, what happened to that money? Also the developers statement 'will contribute to transport improvements' will they? I rather doubt it considering their previous track record. The holes in Howe Lane and St. Michael's Road bear testimony to this. Try driving along these roads! An accident waiting to happen. No one in this area wants this dreadful plan implemented. It seems to have escaped your notice, that we shall all end up under water. There should not be any more houses / flats in this particular area, there are far too many dreadful flats and developments in gardens and how could all those dreadful developments on the edge of the town ever gained approval? They are a disgrace. Verwood used to be a pleasant place to live when we moved here 39 years ago. Look at it now! Are you proud of the 'improvements'?! No one else is. I realise that housing is needed. To go ahead with this plan will be a disaster and how did you decide to fall in with the plans / request to sell off this land, just because the owners could sell it at a very good profit? It is possible that they will not be living in the water? I			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							think not. The present infrastructure will not sustain such a large project as this and the drains in Manor Road are at full capacity now. Even more water will accumulate as the leaves fall.			
501586	Mr D S Gardner		CSO5653	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501593	Mrs E J Brockhurst		CSO5674	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501596	Mr and Mrs B & K O'Loughlin		CSO5710	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Public transport (bus services) are a complete sham, expensive, unreliable, times are unhelpful to working people and staff are unhelpful and ill-informed. These matters need to be addressed by the bus companies first. Cycle lanes need to be more than just a painted line on the road. Painted lines on narrow roads are more dangerous than no lane at all.			1463
501601	Mr P Longland		CSO5688	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501607	Dr Fairchild		CSO5722	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		The road network will not support these developments. Long Lane & Colehill Lane have now become "rat runs" for traffic trying to access the A31 and more houses will increase this very big issue			1463
501616	Mr R J Joyce		CSO6050	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501626	Mrs J A Russell		CSO6174	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501694	Mr R Bryan		CSO5807	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501699	B THOMAS		CSO5835	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501705	Mr Michael Lucas		CSO5841	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501709	Alan Seymour		CSO5863	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501717	D J and J L Cooper		CSO5895	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Development of any new site will put extra strain on an already very congested road system. Any promises to ease the situation will always fall by the wayside!!!			1463
501718	Mr D Duckenfield		CSO5888	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501720	Dr and Mrs D Harlow		CSO5942	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501732	Mrs H.M Ridout		CSO5910	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501737	Mr and Mrs Ryan		CSO5921	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501738	Mr RJ Jenkins		CSO5933	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501744	Mr J Drew		CSO5943	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501745	Michael Cook		CSO5953	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501750	Mr K G Holloway		CSO5964	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501751	Mr RG Birch		CSO6006	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501753	Mrs J Easterbee		CSO5973	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501754	Mrs M J Rossister		CSO5979	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501765	Mrs M.I Smith		CSO6010	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501766	Mr D E Anderton		CSO6012	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
501772	Mrs C Wilson		CSO6051	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501788	Mr Evan Waterman		CSO6061	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501793	Mrs Marian Dingle		CSO6076	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
501794	Mr and Mrs G Hattemore		CSO6092	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501801	Mrs Ward		CSO6094	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		There are enough houses and traffic problems in this area without adding to it.			1463
501813	Peter		CSO6115	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Holdaway			Option TA 1						
501814	Mr and Mrs C Sorbie		CSO6126	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501822	Mr Stephen Collins		CSO7715	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501823	Mr and Mrs E A Osgood		CSO6147	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501826	Mr Gordon Hunt		CSO7729	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501853	B G Young		CSO6181	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501867	Mrs I M Marks		CSO6383	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501876	Mr J Long		CSO6234	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501881	B W Deverill		CSO6301	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501900	Miss Theresa Gale		CSO6313	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501953	J Wilkinson		CSO6898	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502022	Mr HWR		CSO6409	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Stevens			1						
502029	Mr J MacArthur		CSO6459	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502032	Mr and Mrs Pitt		CSO6467	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		The whole area is congested, there is no real provision for new TRANSPORT OR services for new homes i.e. doctors, local shops or community centres. There are already MANY EMPTY industrial units. Building more industrial is only viable if a tax loss for developers. Verwood has lost its soul now, and does not need any more ad-hock development. Wimborne will follow if the development of this (at present) lovely Town is carried out in the same way as Verwood has been.			1463
502050	Mr Alan Symons		CSO6504	Preferred Option TA 1	Object	General Comment	I object as these Section '106' agreements are in effect legal bribery and usually result in unwelcome development in exchange for transport improvements.			1463
502059	Mr R Seddon		CSO6518	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502061	J and M Jeater and Tilley		CSO6509	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502076	Kay Stead		CSO6581	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502077	Mr Douglas Poole		CSO6538	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502078	Mr R Marlow		CSO6558	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Why waste more tax payers money!!! Too much now!!! These proposals are ridiculous, as any further work prospects in this are "zero". So why more homes and cars when the road/transport structure is obsolete for modern days need, let alone provision for the future 20/30 years. Just			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							building more houses will not solve the long standing problems in Dorset i.e. jobs and road structures.			
502092	Mr and Mrs A Watkinson		CSO6610	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502099	Mrs Carolyn Lourens		CSO6609	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Provided not passed onto local householders			1463
502110	Mrs Siobhan Myock		CSO6611	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502114	Mr P Foster		CSO6655	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502131	M R Grayston		CSO6658	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502136	Mr and Mrs WA Forster		CSO6707	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502138	Mrs E Mason		CSO6717	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502224	Mr and Mrs Larcombe		CSO6742	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502253	Mrs Lesley Jane Franklin		CSO6757	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I support all options for Verwood, Wimborne and Colehill because I feel there is a great need for more affordable housing in these areas, especially for young families and vulnerable elderly people in rural areas.			1463
502312	Mrs Sally Brierley		CSO6825	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502317	Cllr Peter Lucas		CSO6855	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502322	Mrs J C Critchell		CSO6847	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502326	Mr and Mrs T Bennett		CSO6921	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502329	Mrs Rosemary Rooke		CSO6919	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502332	Mr and Mrs D Blanch		CSO6896	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		How?			1463
502333	Mr B M Andrews		CSO6920	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Make parents walk their children to school. Stop parents 4x4s, etc. Spend more money on our area. Council tax far too high and what benefits do we receive from the Parish Council?			1463
502342	Mrs D P Scamell		CSO6928	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502344	Mr and Mrs W Smith		CSO6955	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502345	Mr and Mrs Ray and Irene Coulson		CSO6967	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502347	Mrs Sarah Cobb		CSO7144	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502366	Mr P Lewis		CSO6993	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502376	Mr Mark Palmer		CSO7013	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502381	Mr Cyril Josey		CSO7088	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502387	Mr George Kilpatrick		CSO7077	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I would like to see the justification for increased housing in East Dorset e.g., demographics, immigration, increased work opportunities etc. I would also like to understand how transport strategy (and infrastructure) is coordinated with this in such a way to make expansion sustainable.			1463
502392	Mr and Mrs G Orr		CSO7033	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502404	Mr Antony Jennings		CSO7078	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502417	Mr A Read		CSO7101	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502424	Mr and Mrs A V Messenger		CSO7123	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502430	Mrs M Williams		CSO7138	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502441	Mrs Ingrid Wells		CSO7175	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502468	Mr Robert Lambert		CSO7421	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502492	Mr		CSO7205	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M L Portugal			Option TA 1						
502517	Mrs Crossland		CSO7235	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502520	P and M Coussell		CSO7243	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502524	Mr B Heap		CSO7254	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502528	Mr and Mrs B Stanford		CSO7260	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502568	Mrs Sarah Morgan		CSO7291	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502569	Mr & Ms M & L Skinner & Jeffries		CSO7319	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502579	Mr & Mrs R L Thorne		CSO7376	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502595	Mr Colin House		CSO7483	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502596	Mr A C Hayter		CSO7431	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502610	Mr John Jackson		CSO7533	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502612	Hugh and Joy		CSO7492	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Dickson			Option TA 1						
502635	Mrs JS Brough		CSO7510	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502648	Mrs Teresa Halford		CSO7520	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502670	Mrs S Trevorrow		CSO7542	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502678	Mr R F Perry		CSO7555	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502683	Mr and Mrs G Milne		CSO7565	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Good idea BUT roads in this area already overloaded - no amount of 'improvements' can alter this fact.			1463
502701	Mrs M Williams		CSO7617	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502706	Mr Neil Kenworthy		CSO7613	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502707	N Tomsett		CSO7633	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502708	Ms Julia Owen		CSO7659	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502712	Mr W D J Pearce		CSO7648	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502749	Mr Gerald		CSO7677	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Giltrow			1						
502865	Mr and Mrs D H Bustard		CSO7704	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502876	Mr Russell Tidman		CSO7716	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502880	Mrs P M Tarbuck		CSO7725	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502900	Mrs M Baynham		CSO7747	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502910	Mr & Mrs R & JD Gregory		CSO7756	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502921	Mr and Mrs L Forinton		CSO7893	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Also supported in Options for Employment leaflet			1463
502923	Mrs M Phippard		CSO7775	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502925	Mrs J E Allan		CSO7783	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502934	Mr D Parkinson		CSO7804	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502935	Mr Roger Parker		CSO7844	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
502937	Mr and Mrs M J Turvey		CSO7814	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502950	Mr I G Banks		CSO7845	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502987	Mrs Anne Griffiths		CSO7856	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
502989	Mrs Jackie Butcher		CSO7875	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
502999	Mrs Marion E Lock		CSO7889	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503019	Mr David Schofield		CSO7907	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503022	Mr and Mrs D Money		CSO7919	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503024	Ms Valerie Measey		CSO8926	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503040	Mrs Kathleen Schofield		CSO7947	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503044	Mr and Mrs R Setchfield		CSO7941	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503085	Mr P.A. Scott		CSO7984	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503156	Mr and Mrs R Blunderfield		CSO8084	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Also objected to in Options for Employment Leaflet			1463
503163	Mrs		CSO8085	Preferred	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M Kimber			Option TA 1						
503171	Sally Cooke		CSO8113	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503176	Mr Vernon Britton		CSO8106	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
359920	Mr S Parker		CSO9564	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The transport improvements should probably be required before any development starts rather than prior to any houses being occupied as this will ensure the improvements are done and will also assist with site traffic etc. NB I am not sure that the term "green infrastructure" tells the reader very much.			1463
359954	Mr Alan FLINT		CSO10025	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		1. I want to know the projected time scale for these options to start to become active. 2. It is most important that there is adequate employment to accommodate the houses to be built. 3. More attention must be made to supply of affordable housing. 4. The green belt must be respected where possible. 5. The estates around Wimborne must be consulted fully.			1463
360095	Mr & Mrs John & Barbara Polkinghorn		CSO9836	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
360111	Mr K VIVIAN		CSO9625	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
360146	Mrs Marilyn A WARBURTON		CSO11171	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
360701	Mrs Jennifer		CSO9385	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Thorpe			1						
361037	Mr P STRATFORD		CSO11346	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
475526	Mr Geoffrey Dark		CSO10973	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498775	Mrs P L Buckler		CSO10801	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499748	Ms Soozi Cooper		CSO9752	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503188	Mr David Zambra		CSO8125	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503202	Mr and Mrs D J Walters		CSO8287	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503230	Mr P Andrews		CSO8192	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503233	Mrs F Parkes		CSO8273	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503303	Mr Anthony Roberts		CSO8324	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503306	Mr and Mrs I Hancock		CSO8694	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503315	Mr Kenneth Wood		CSO8351	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503347	Ms Hardwick		CSO8381	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503355	Mr Robert Griffiths		CSO8408	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503358	Mrs H C Hoare		CSO8437	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503368	Mr Nicholas J Long		CSO8455	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503378	Mr A Bouyer		CSO8469	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
503395	Mr Ian Davis		CSO8507	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Loss of Green Belt would be a travesty. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would produce 1.5 vehicles per household, which results in 1000+ more vehicles on the roads. A queue of 1000 vehicles would stretch from Plowmans to Haskins (approx 2 miles). Major roadworks required at a cost of several million pounds, medical, shops, schools, youth amenities, leisure etc, etc.			1463
503408	Steve Williams		CSO8524	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503421	Mr Geof Haywood		CSO8545	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		TA 1 - Many many years ago a Folkestone - Honiton Trunk Road was forecast. Today dual carriageways stop at the EEC border and holiday / commuter / lorry or local traffic comes to a halt due to jams. Olympic work we hear about but its needed now, plus our superb bus service Wimborne / Poole needs to be assured and improved to get people out of cars.			1463
503431	Phil Surridge		CSO8549	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503444	Mr R Hobbs		CSO8606	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503462	Mrs S Clarke		CSO8604	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503463	Mrs Rita Lockyer		CSO8595	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503476	Mrs AM Hawkins		CSO8674	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503479	Mr Robert Eastham		CSO8661	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503482	Mr Ron Hopkins		CSO8686	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503518	C Skipton		CSO8701	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503529	Mrs Leggett		CSO8724	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503533	Mr N Payne		CSO8725	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503547	Mr Michael Stefanou		CSO8750	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503554	Mr D Verguson		CSO8858	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503598	Mr		CSO8802	Preferred		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	John Turner			Option TA 1						
503603	Mrs DJ Morley		CSO8817	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503621	A G Haines		CSO8870	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503635	Mr J Gough		CSO8930	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503639	Mrs and Mr M Stevens		CSO8957	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		We cannot believe that developers will contribute significantly for any meaningful transport improvements			1463
503640	N J and S A White		CSO9176	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503644	Mr J Riley		CSO8995	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503659	Mr JD Jenkins		CSO9020	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503663	Mr & Mrs D and L Scott		CSO9007	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503666	Mr A H J Paul		CSO9011	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Re. your statement concerning the District car ownership level, I trust, that despite financial restrictions, that road surfaces are maintained at a high level on the grounds of safety, noise and vehicular damage.			1463
503674	Mrs S Hoare		CSO9029	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503687	Mr Nick Smith		CSO9093	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503689	Mr and Mrs Dunnings		CSO9087	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503690	Ms Clare Parvin		CSO9173	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
503695	Mr and Mrs N White		CSO9100	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503696	Mr J and Mrs V Morum		CSO9133	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503704	Mr RJ Legge		CSO9112	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
503709	Mr and Mrs A Fairclough		CSO9148	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
503712	Mr B Barton		CSO9162	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
503717	Mr and Mrs L Grounsell		CSO9174	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503725	Mr G A Hughes		CSO9242	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503736	Mr and Mrs L D Phillips		CSO9190	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503759	Mr		CSO9260	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	D.J. Middleton			Option TA 1						
503761	Mr David Bourne		CSO9219	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		TA 1 - Developer contribution to transport improvements - you don't get owt for nowt. The cost will be passed on to the purchase price of houses. This should be born by the council (yes Council Tax will have to be raised (unless public pensions which are obscene, are reduced).			1463
503766	James Simmonds		CSO9227	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503787	Mrs P A Dent		CSO9282	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503835	Mr & Mrs D Phillips		CSO9288	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503846	Mr Anthony Hose		CSO9359	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503847	Mrs Jenny Thornton		CSO9311	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
503857	Mr A J Thornton		CSO9322	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I don't believe our young people should pay for transport on their house price as we didn't when we bought our house.			1463
503861	Mr E Hawkins		CSO9371	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503869	Mrs Jean Khan		CSO9369	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
503877	Mr and Mrs T E C Hales		CSO9378	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion	I have noted the sites on your applications and would make the following comments: 1) All the proposals are not viable unless there is			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>either a bridged flyover or an underpass at Canford Bottom roundabout.</p> <p>2) At present, Ferndown High Street/ Ringwood Road is always congested, Relief could be obtained if heavy lorries were not allowed through, but had to use the A31 Ferndown bypass.</p> <p>3) Items FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are no go with the present system and would be very doubtful even if items 1 and 2 were carried out.</p> <p>4) FWP2 should be supported also FWP1 is a feasible development.</p> <p>5) Final comment please do not let anyone lower the speed limit through Ferndown to 30mph; even under present conditions this would bring traffic to long hold ups.</p>			
503878	Mr Peter Smith		CSO9421	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
503879	Mr S Smithson		CSO9450	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
503943	Mr & Mrs Rumball		CSO9466	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
504093	Mr & Mrs Vivian		CSO9494	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
504101	Mrs Mary Trewiss-Bell		CSO9521	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
504207	L S Dean		CSO9560	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
504216	Mr Mark Rich		CSO9585	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
504288	Tracey Doherty		CSO9656	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
504314	Ms Selina Roper		CSO9727	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
504468	Mrs M Last		CSO9761	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
504549	Dan Stone		CSO9791	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
505273	Mrs Lorraine Hubbard		CSO9864	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
505354	Mr Tim Edwards		CSO9971	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
505369	J Young		CSO9997	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
505506	Mr Peter Hendra		CSO10074	Preferred Option TA 1	Object	General Comment	Building more houses has NOTHING to do with meeting the needs of (existing) local residents. We do not need or want more houses in our area. Planning to build more houses is driven by the 'need' to meet externally imposed quotas based on false assumptions on the desirability of further population and economic growth. Instead of wasting resources on building more housing which is ecologically unsustainable, we should be using them to make a transition to a low energy sustainable future for our children. Please listen to local residents and reject further development.			1463
505523	Mr & Mrs A Turner		CSO10092	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
505561	Mr. D. Calvert		CSO10126	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
505572	Mr and Mrs LJ Hinton		CSO10098	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
505590	M Spalding		CSO10154	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
505656	Mr Dave Evans		CSO10164	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
505681	Mr Nick Lewis		CSO10190	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
505742	Mr L. J. Ashplant		CSO10212	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
505760	J Evans		CSO10222	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
505802	Mr RGH Chapman		CSO10267	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
506116	Mr and Mrs P and SJ Simpson		CSO10314	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
506161	Mr RD Holyoake		CSO10341	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
506196	Mr & Mrs P Stout		CSO10370	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
506754	Mr		CSO10393	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Derek E Marsh			Option TA 1						
506989	Mrs Barbara Harris		CSO10423	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507026	Mr David Craig		CSO10450	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507759	Mr and Mrs P Houghton		CSO11374	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Need to fully pay for a complete solution			1463
507760	Mr and Mrs R Gardner		CSO11390	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507771	Mrs P M Coventry		CSO11388	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
507782	Mrs Jennifer Baxendale		CSO11405	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507789	Mr CJ Barrett		CSO11508	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507795	Mr A M Baxendale		CSO11418	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507800	Mrs K M Platt		CSO11494	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507808	Mrs J V Atyeo		CSO11433	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
507817	Mr R		CSO11450	Preferred Option TA		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Gullliver			1						
507869	Mrs B O'Kelly		CSO11501	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
507891	Mr R W Johnson		CSO11516	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Further bus services or more frequent existing routes (36, 36a, 37) or, Yellow Buses to extend to Verwood from West Moors? Depending on these being practicable of course.			1463
507908	Mr Philip H James		CSO11568	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507941	Mr and Mrs Avril and George Jeavons		CSO11555	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507965	Mr Jack Harvey		CSO11585	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		<p>We consider Verwood housing has already outgrown the size of the town. There would be insufficient services to support what would be at best another 400 families.</p> <p>There are still many unmade-up roads in Verwood awaiting the promises of 20 years ago. These roads have got progressively worse with all the new building work - Manor Lane in particular as the builders got away with not repairing the damage done during the build of the Spring Close area and new houses on what was an open field.</p> <p>Traffic that should use Margard's Lane persists in using Manor Lane as a short cut and with a new secondary school this would increase.</p> <p>We understand that the Health and Safety rules say that there should be at least two made-up roads to the school to provide IN/OUT access in the event of an emergency - Margards lane or Springfield Road /Close to Howe Lane as was on the ORIGINAL PLAN.</p>			1463
507980	Mrs Sylvia Allen		CSO11604	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
508383	Ms Emma Hayter		CSO11720	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508413	Mrs S Best		CSO11784	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508455	Mr W Swingler		CSO11805	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
359568	Mr James Meadows	Rural Surveyor National Trust	CSO13501	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
359841	Mrs Felicity Riley		CSO15089	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
359854	Mr T Graham		CSO12700	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
359872	Mr K Adshead		CSO14744	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
359916	JB Cossins		CSO13175	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion	Also had No Opinion on the Options for Employment leaflet for TA1			1463
359967	Mr John A Carpenter		CSO14331	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
360097	Mr John DOWLING		CSO14519	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Sceptical as to whether transport needs will actually occur. QE School redevelopment still has no cycle way into Wimborne Town centre despite promises.			1463
360116	Mr A G King		CSO11946	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
360131	Mr Martin Hanham		CSO13028	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
360626	Mr Frank Miller	Chair Person Sturminster Marshall Affordable Housing Self Build Homes Group	CSO14755	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Developers should not buy their way into the planning system by contributions to transport or otherwise. You're not running a clear Planning Dept. Support to Self-Build - where has that been looked at? I have a plan ready to go. Also objected to in Options for Employment leaflet			1463
360910	Mrs Fiona Baker		CSO12923	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		The volume of traffic on New Road is already excessively high. Having spent 2 years marketing our New Road home congestion has already had a negative impact on the saleability and value of our property. These plans would further exacerbate this situation.			1463
360952	Mr and Mrs G Matthews		CSO12056	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361014	Mr Peter Hammond		CSO12414	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Also supported on Options for Employment leaflet			1463
361035	Mrs H.L O'Sullivan		CSO13020	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361062	Mr & Mrs E Collier		CSO12918	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361277	Mrs Judith Deadman		CSO12982	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361300	Mr & Mrs Searley		CSO12974	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361313	Ms		CSO12646	Preferred		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Sarah Bowley			Option TA 1						
475494	Mr Alan Macdonald		CSO12753	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
476247	Mr Richard Pike		CSO13121	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
476502	Mr Mark David	Davids of Wimborne Ltd	CSO13423	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
477753	Mr Stephen Saville		CSO12637	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
481604	Mr Gerald Toomer		CSO11825	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Also supported on the Options for Employment leaflet			1463
498211	Mrs J Auckland		CSO11538	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
498485	Mrs E Seward		CSO12931	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
504776	Mr and Mrs T R Jenner		CSO11798	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507033	A R Twaits		CSO10502	Preferred Option TA 1	Support	General Comment	Despite improvements to public transport, cycling and walking, the reality is that the vast majority of journeys will continue to be made by car. Local roads are already congested and the extent of development proposed is not acceptable without significant increases in the capacity of these roads for cars. In particular problems on the Ferndown and Wimborne bypasses need to be resolved, and the A31 to Poole link built.			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
507070	Mr and Mrs Earwicker		CSO10521	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507132	Ms L Joyce		CSO10542	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507218	Mr and Mrs J Smith		CSO10633	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
507261	Mr Barnes		CSO10657	Preferred Option TA 1	Object	General Comment	Having lived in West Parley for 16 years, the increase in traffic has been colossal. To build so many dwellings on local land is sheer lunacy as the infrastructure will not cope with it all and it will spoil a lovely rural area, already trying to cope with increased traffic problems due to airport expansion. There has been no mention of new schools either, which will have to be built to accommodate all these new families.			1463
507269	Mr and Mrs M King		CSO10663	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507286	Mr N P Butler		CSO10712	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion	You will never change the car culture in this country – spend the money elsewhere.			1463
507326	Mr and Mrs M Salter		CSO10725	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507330	Mr Malcolm Lay		CSO10723	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Transport and infrastructure has to be a priority in Verwood prior to any new homes. Comments from Verwood Housing leaflet Until Verwood has a proper infrastructure no new homes should be built. We require urgently a proper, updated, expanded supermarket together with petrol station, new doctor, dentist, a proper bus service and a large leisure centre. Also the roads cannot handle the current level of traffic.			1463
507335	Mr		CSO10740	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	J Bridges			Option TA 1						
507336	Mr John Page		CSO10785	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507345	Mr B Hodgkins - Wright		CSO10778	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507363	Mr Kevin Sayer		CSO10937	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507378	Ms Anni Dencher		CSO10866	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507388	Mr David Huggins		CSO10947	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
507402	Mrs V Crout		CSO10927	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507451	F Williamson		CSO10993	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507463	Mr and Mrs G Hirst		CSO11012	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
507474	Mark and Jean Cording		CSO11056	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507487	Mr W A Linter		CSO11045	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507499	Mr		CSO11065	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M Ward			Option TA 1						
507524	Mr C G Richardson		CSO11096	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507572	Mr GJ Pettifer		CSO11175	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507595	Mr D Johnston		CSO11191	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507659	Ms Victoria Johnstone		CSO11235	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507693	Mrs P Carter		CSO11292	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
507721	Mr M O'Kelly		CSO11304	Preferred Option TA 1	Object	General Comment	Existing facilities in Verwood are inadequate for the existing population. To increase the population by circa 2,000 will only acxxxxxx what is currently a poor situation. You really need to show how you will improve bus routes, roads, centre of town etc etc.			1463
507730	Mr Vince Woolley		CSO11316	Preferred Option TA 1	Object	General Comment	Verwood has no infrastructure, we have trouble with anti-social behaviour from youths, dangerous level of traffic in front of Emmanuel Middle School. To have a new secondary school so close to Emmanuel Middle School plus 415 houses will only make the situation far worse!			1463
508478	M Hewlett		CSO11831	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
508482	Mrs K Mills		CSO11837	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
508488	Mrs JA Levasseur		CSO11844	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508549	Mr & Mrs R and S Gooden		CSO11909	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
508562	K Clayson		CSO11920	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Also objected to in Options for Employment leaflet			1463
508578	Mr David Winsall		CSO11934	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508580	Mrs Kirkcaldy		CSO11943	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508590	Mr Jamie Ball		CSO11962	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
508601	Mr KA Cook		CSO11973	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Better bus services and cycle facilities are key to limiting road traffic increases.			1463
508605	Mr J Ames		CSO12004	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508634	Mrs Jacqueline Legg		CSO12020	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508649	Mr J Tucker		CSO12043	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508674	Mrs C Winsall		CSO12075	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508685	Mr and Mrs		CSO12092	Preferred	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	DG Spivey			Option TA 1						
508708	Mr & Mrs G Peskett		CSO12115	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508712	Mr SR Lambden		CSO12127	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508735	Mr Peter Barham		CSO12147	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508835	Mrs E.L. Stratford		CSO12207	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		The Council is always after land for housing or business development. Whatever is granted is never enough and a few years later they want more. This process has been going on for over 50 years and it is time it came to a stop. Enough is enough as it is ruining the area.			1463
508842	M G Carlyon		CSO12216	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508852	Mrs L.A. Cheshire		CSO12285	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508857	Mrs and Miss EJ and P D Goode and Smith		CSO12246	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Only if the building plans were passed - would like to know details.			1463
508860	Mrs Sue Cartlidge		CSO12579	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508865	Miss B A Page		CSO12315	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508866	Mr and Mrs Penny and		CSO12807	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Vincent Smith			1						
508917	Mr Lionel Woodcock		CSO12339	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508928	Mr D.W. Lonsdale		CSO12367	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508931	Ms Ina Codrington		CSO12346	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
508956	Mr T Webb		CSO12387	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
508966	Mrs Mansell		CSO12394	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508985	Mr N Meyrick		CSO12441	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
508990	Mr Harry Spencer		CSO12416	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
508993	Mr A Samways		CSO12431	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Also supported in Options for Employment leaflet			1463
509062	Clare Symons		CSO12481	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Transport improvements? Few people work in Verwood, buses too slow for commuters. Latter mainly drive via Ringwood or West Moors, much congestion. Would developer fund dual carriageway or new roads?			1463
509065	Mrs B Burge		CSO12527	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509099	Mrs L S Timberlake		CSO12523	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509100	Mrs Judy Waite		CSO12518	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509106	Dawn Clark		CSO12530	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509128	Mrs Susan J Cheese		CSO12547	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509129	Mr Simon Briscoe		CSO12551	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509164	Mr Courtney		CSO12555	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509168	Mr and Mrs P Lawton		CSO12559	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509171	Mr and Mrs J Newman		CSO12563	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509181	Mrs A Jones		CSO12567	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509182	Mr K A Jones		CSO12571	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509251	Mrs Frances Hudson		CSO12599	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509272	Greg		CSO12606	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Dollerson			Option TA 1						
509293	Ms Caroline Laraman		CSO12619	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509295	Miss G Burden		CSO12627	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509299	Mr R Moore		CSO12624	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509316	Mr Simon Godwin		CSO12636	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509318	Mr P Bamborough		CSO12663	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509421	Mr J Dowling		CSO12655	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509428	Mrs Vanessa Burden		CSO12662	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509438	Mr Nigel Burden		CSO12667	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509453	Mrs H Stallard		CSO12676	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509454	Mr David Burden		CSO12680	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509489	Mr CD		CSO12697	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Raine			1						
509504	Mr and Mrs Lewis		CSO12712	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
509526	Mr E Cornick		CSO12718	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509533	Mr M Mason		CSO12722	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509543	Ms Beryl MacDonald		CSO12741	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
509549	Mr D Russell		CSO12740	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
509577	Mrs Elizabeth Ann Short		CSO12748	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509611	Mrs M Toomer		CSO12768	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509632	Mr and Mrs L and D Jackson		CSO12770	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509759	Mrs V Kitson		CSO12788	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509776	Ms Jane Foulger		CSO12796	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509782	Mr and Mrs Harrison		CSO12804	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509784	Mr Nathan Winfield		CSO12819	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509790	Ian Sparks		CSO12812	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509795	Mr R Ridley		CSO12825	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Also supported in Options for Employment Leaflet			1463
509796	B Sparks		CSO12823	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509804	Mrs J Best		CSO12831	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509811	Mrs J Waugh		CSO12842	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509826	Mrs P Walker		CSO12857	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509829	Mr Andrew Taylor		CSO12852	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509843	Mr and Mrs Brian and Dorothy Adams		CSO12872	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
509848	Mr & Mrs I Robertson		CSO12873	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509859	Mrs Sperling		CSO12897	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509861	Mrs V Aitken		CSO12883	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509888	Dr A Craven		CSO12890	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509897	Mrs Rachel Harding		CSO12902	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509906	Mr P Woodward		CSO12911	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509922	Mr and Mrs V Feltham		CSO12930	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509923	G Woodward		CSO12925	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509934	Miss Nixon		CSO12948	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
509939	Mr and Mrs Barton		CSO12950	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509944	J Sparks		CSO12940	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
509952	Mrs Tracey Legge		CSO12955	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
509975	Mr and Mrs J M Price		CSO12960	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
509977	A		CSO12969	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Cornwell			Option TA 1						
510009	Mr R M Langley		CSO12967	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510026	Ms Tracy Ryan		CSO12979	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510048	Mrs Dorothy Scobey		CSO12987	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510055	R Elliott		CSO12995	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510070	Mr David Humphrey		CSO13002	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510084	Ms Elizabeth Morris		CSO13009	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
510111	Mr Brian Lane		CSO13024	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510235	Mrs Deborah Hanham		CSO13032	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion	I consider that, now that it has been accepted that the Corfe Mullen Meadows SSSI has incorrectly shown as a constraint on residential development, your Council should look once again at the Waterloo Valley, i.e. the land between Waterloo Road and Hillside Road to accommodate further housing. Furthermore, that land constrained by the Upton Heath SSSI, in this area, should be considered for the re-location of Lockyers school.			1463
510241	Miss Danielle Hanham		CSO13036	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion	I consider that, now that it has been accepted that the Corfe Mullen Meadows SSSI has incorrectly shown as a constraint on residential			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							development, your Council should look once again at the Waterloo Valley, i.e. the land between Waterloo Road and Hillside Road to accommodate further housing. Furthermore, that land constrained by the Upton Heath SSSI, in this area, should be considered for the re-location of Lockyers school.			
510268	Ms Deborah McClure		CSO13054	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510292	Mr S Waddington		CSO13056	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510309	Suzanne Chapman		CSO13068	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I support affordable housing for all who need this, especially young people, but I am concerned about the impact this will make on transport up East Borough and down it.			1463
510323	Mr and Mrs B Hallam		CSO13083	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
510354	Mrs A Perry		CSO13092	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
510366	Mr JK Lake		CSO13106	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510368	Mr R Honess		CSO13108	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510380	Mr and Mrs K Jones		CSO13123	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
510394	Mr and Mrs D Yarham		CSO13187	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
510411	Ms Julie Camsooksai		CSO13142	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Public transport facilities currently poor. I live in Wimborne and work at Poole Hospital. There is no direct bus service from Wimborne to Poole Hospital and town centre (i.e. along Gravel Hill). It only takes 20 minutes to drive therefore I drive. I would consider using the bus to work if there was a direct service.			1463
510420	Mr Peter Stevens		CSO13178	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
510449	F W Voysey		CSO13198	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510459	Roger and Dorothy Cook		CSO13206	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510483	Mr and Mrs B Rogers		CSO13229	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510504	Mr Chris Mercer		CSO13313	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
510509	Mr Christopher Joy		CSO13253	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
510521	Mr K G Burling		CSO13271	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
510532	Mr W.W. Chant		CSO13306	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Before any further development is considered, housing or industrial, Canford Bottom Roundabout must be improved. I consider the only satisfactory way to achieve this is by an underpass of the roundabout allowing the A31 traffic to run free.			1463
510535	Vicky Wells		CSO13295	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				1						
510549	Mrs R Green		CSO13327	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510552	Mr & Mrs N Allen		CSO13341	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510627	Mr J Elcock		CSO13363	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
510630	Mr A B Wood		CSO13361	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I need to know the effect the new roads will have on an already stretched road system.			1463
510637	Mr D H Letchford		CSO13369	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510647	Mrs M E Elcock		CSO13386	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
510674	Mr P Rhodes		CSO13403	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
510684	Mrs Angela Pullman		CSO13419	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
360002	Mr Mike Bartlett		CSO16195	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Very well set out in booklet and well thought out proposals. Speed is the essence - I have 3 children in need!			1463
360863	Mr David Mltchell	Chairman Colehill Parish Plan Group	CSO14395	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361121	Mrs Elizabeth Jones		CSO14029	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
361124	Mrs M.E Brown		CSO16377	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361138	Mr Rod WHITHAM		CSO16901	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Much of this strategy appears to be bowing to commercial pressures so the developers should pay towards the costs of infrastructure, which if course they would without any doubt pass on to purchasers, and it may well be that this out prices potential purchasers. This is one of the main reasons for my objections to these large development proposals. The developments should be smaller and cater for local needs, not an influx of additional residents and then the need for massive increases in infrastructure would not be required.			1463
361156	Mr John Newman		CSO13599	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361161	Mr Douglas FORWARD		CSO13612	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361196	Mr J.M Bullivant		CSO16292	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
475495	Mrs Hilary Barnett		CSO14579	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Support so long as infrastructure is in place and paid for before sale of properties.			1463
476264	Mr David Reddaway		CSO15705	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
476792	Mr and Mrs Lomas		CSO14527	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Also supported in Options for Employment leaflet			1463
482795	Mr and Mrs N F Steel		CSO13881	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
483391	Mrs Eileen Mann		CSO14639	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
485695	Mr John Cornish		CSO14341	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490716	Mr Steve Saville	Station Manager Forest FM	CSO17047	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
491252	Margaret Wareham		CSO16636	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
498376	Mrs Helen Holman		CSO15317	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
498692	Alwyn Stavert		CSO14147	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
499533	Mr & Mrs A & C Clein		CSO16751	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		We strongly object to any further development of Verwood because the local infrastructure can barely cope with the recent growth that Verwood has already seen. Any further growth would have a major impact on it. We moved to Verwood from Woking, Surrey 18 months ago to escape the traffic and overcrowding. Verwood is a great community with a village feel which would dramatically change for the worse if these houses are to be built. The re-development of the town centre and facilities which would be required would have a detrimental impact on our much Verwood. It feels like home here out of all places we have lived – we do not want that to change!			1463
499779	Mr & Mrs J Wilson		CSO16069	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
500817	Mr L		CSO15372	Preferred Option TA	Object		1) Re use or develop existing empty houses. 2) Each house has 2 – 3 cars not 1 car. There is			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Lothian			1			too much traffic and congestion in west moors. We do not need a bypass either. 3) There are no jobs here, build the houses were there is work i.e. Bournemouth or Poole, not our green land.			
504241	Mr and Mrs Ansell		CSO16757	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
504541	Mrs S Newham		CSO15455	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
507699	Mr Robert Rawles		CSO15444	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507899	Mr R J Potts		CSO15982	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510722	J P Stallard		CSO13451	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Any cycle tracks must be off road - not a white line painted on existing highway.			1463
510733	MK Clayson		CSO13459	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Too many vehicles for the road network			1463
510747	Alison Francis		CSO13475	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510763	Dr and Mrs Dicker		CSO13485	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510794	Mrs S P Houghton		CSO13529	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
510798	Mr F Sullivan		CSO13555	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
510844	Mr Michael Guilmany-Cush		CSO13592	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510873	Mr & Mrs B.R. Mayes		CSO13639	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510974	Mr Jim Cullumbine		CSO13673	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
510993	Mr R.A. Cherrett		CSO13710	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511001	Mr Paul Few		CSO13707	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
511020	Mrs E Derbyshire		CSO13723	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
511030	K Derbyshire		CSO13740	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
511044	Mr B L Buckman		CSO13763	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511047	Mr Andrew Bull		CSO13765	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511052	Mrs B.J Bailey		CSO13783	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511056	Mr K H Saunders		CSO13784	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511058	Mr		CSO13785	Preferred	Object		I feel that 99% of new homes will be for new			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	W Taylor			Option TA 1			arrivals, and that all services cannot cope, no matter what the developers promise.			
511066	Mr and Mrs D Legg		CSO13806	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511069	Mr John Cheese		CSO13805	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
511076	Mr Ian Burden		CSO13886	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Before building more homes in this area, major road improvements are needed. There are traffic jams on the A31 through the summer and weekends all year.			1463
511095	Mrs Warburton		CSO13853	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511114	Mr Rob Gigg		CSO13843	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		The area cannot support any more traffic. This area is very popular with horse riders who need to access the local bridleways, the levels of traffic already pose a significant danger. Use the out of town developments built for this purpose e.g. Verwood, not pile development on the towns.			1463
511125	Mrs Christine Elliott		CSO14046	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511128	Mrs Eileen Housden		CSO13864	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511135	Mr & Mrs S D and N Richards		CSO13873	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511175	Webber		CSO13892	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511181	Ms		CSO13902	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Pauline Barrow			Option TA 1						
511246	Mr and Mrs Daniels		CSO13946	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511252	Ms Mary Harris		CSO13975	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511260	Mr J Nimmo		CSO13961	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I would very much doubt if the developers will make any useful contribution to transport improvements.			1463
511294	Mr Alan Dorey		CSO13983	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511320	Mrs C J Ballard		CSO13991	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511324	Mr M H Ballard		CSO13999	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511328	Mr David Griffin		CSO14007	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511374	Mr Shane Smith		CSO15190	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		We have been concerned regarding the volume of traffic along Howe Lane already, and the addition of any additional housing would in our opinion cause too much strain on these old roads. As it is a fire engine could not pass during school runs. The two main roads leading out to the A31 already too busy during peak times and the addition of this number of houses would be a problem we think. Also we are worried about ruin our view – which is why we bought our house in the old area of Verwood as it was quite rural feeling.			1463
511376	Mr		CSO15198	Preferred	Support		I support the development simply because it will			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Filip De Kock			Option TA 1			hopefully enable my land to become development land rather than devalue my property.			
511383	Mrs Jean Archer		CSO15257	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Existing infrastructure needs greatly improving before any new building could be considered – need senior school but build it next to trinity. Morrisons needs updating and competition, need another petrol station and dentist, doctor can't cope now (waited 9 days for appointment). In fact we need a decent shopping centre and road system. You can't have it all ways. With the amount of houses it's no longer a village more like a town with few amenities. SNAP: Object			1463
511395	Mr S M German		CSO14039	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511406	G F Divall		CSO14048	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511413	Mr Ben Rowe		CSO14086	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511417	Mrs Marjorie Derrick		CSO14132	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511429	Mr P Shenton		CSO14137	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511430	Mr A.D. May		CSO14208	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The A31 at Canford Bottom roundabout and in particular all other roads onto that roundabout are a very serious concern. The traffic system just cannot take an increase without very significant investment in the infrastructure. The "Hamburger Roundabout" plan will simply make it far worse for all other roads at the junction.			1463
511433	Mr R M		CSO14112	Preferred Option TA	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Jones			1						
511441	Mr John Harris		CSO14135	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Did not move to Wimborne to see it grow out of hand. With more homes comes more money and more power and that inevitable capitalist growth. Small and green is good. Leave it alone.			1463
511446	Mrs Daphne Archard		CSO14131	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511453	Mr & Mrs Weeks		CSO15285	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		We object to any further housing in Verwood as there is not sufficient infrastructure to support it. We appreciate that a senior school is needed but are extremely concerned re the access to it and parking. The traffic in Margards Lane is now sometimes grid-locked and a further school would make this an even worse situation. We are amazed that a further school could be built in this vicinity. Safety is also an issue here as is access to emergency vehicles.			1463
511462	C Wedgery		CSO14152	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511483	Mr and Mrs Richard and Elizabeth Wilson		CSO14302	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511489	Mr H G Holden		CSO14191	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511549	Ms Debby Andrews		CSO15327	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		There are barely any facilities for current residents and they certainly will not stretch to 400 more homes. Provide infrastructure first and then maybe I wouldn't object.			1463
511564	Ms Emma Prince		CSO15340	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I support these proposals providing that any developers are required to provide allotments sites to the north and south of Verwood to meet the current huge level of demand. And also the facilities in Verwood (leisure etc) need to be			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							improved to cope with additional demand.			
511571	Mr Colin Alborough		CSO14246	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		We are in desperate need of more affordable housing in the East Dorset area. There is also a shortage of employment opportunities in the area. The provision of more houses should help to push house prices down to give young people a change of getting on the property ladder.			1463
511613	Mr & Mrs W.C. Finlayson		CSO14258	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511618	Mrs V Blunden		CSO14322	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511620	Mr Neil Hudson		CSO15346	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		You simply cannot go ahead with major expansion of Verwood (already grown from 3000 – 13000 approximate residents in 25 years) without addressing the infrastructure of Verwood itself. The roads are a classic example most residents commute out of Verwood to work. Most of this is either towards Ringwood or towards West Moors. There is already traffic build up at junction points. The access to Emmanuel school is currently down Church Hill and Margards Lane. I have recently written to the county council over the current vehicle situation affecting residents of Margards Lane, Haywards Lane, Howe Lane, Keswick Road, Lancaster Drive with drivers on the school run (in an 8 min spell I counted 250 car movements). The noise pollution is currently unbearable. When it rains we are close to gridlock (with the implications of emergency vehicle access) Margards Lane was never designed as the main school access. Any further expansion will make matters worse; you need a school access relief road built now!			1463
511639	Mr Paul Hockey		CSO14320	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		This won't happen or make any difference - don't be stupid! The whole population of this country is too large, the building of more and more houses will not			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							help and we are destroying vast areas of natural land to achieve what? Don't do it!! As for developers paying towards transport improvements - what a cop out. This money will go into local government coffers and the roads etc will stay the same. You may as well offer us all a packet of sweets for the good it will do. (Comment added to East Dorset News response)			
511668	Mr Philip Chissell		CSO14371	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511675	Mr and Mrs R G Fletcher		CSO14367	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511680	Mr Alan Mogg		CSO14370	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511687	Mr N Paton		CSO14390	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511692	Ms Ruth Blaug		CSO14425	Preferred Option TA 1		General Comment	Please pioneer an all non-vehicular use route from Corfe Mullen to Wimborne's QE School. Unless this is done, all the youngsters from Corfe Mullen will come by car or bus increasing traffic on these already congested and dangerous roads that will become more so with the building of the new houses.			1463
511704	Mr A M Nisbet		CSO14449	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511747	Mr T W Tonge		CSO14476	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511761	Mr G Burge		CSO14493	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511769	Ms Catryn Holton		CSO14511	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511827	Mr J Kennard		CSO15359	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I'm sure there are many good reasons why affordable housing could be increased in Verwood; however access and increased traffic issues have been touched on by the community. Public transport is poor for people living in Verwood: Serious investment is needed to improve transport infrastructure between Verwood and neighbouring towns and cities without constantly increasing the size of the town.			1463
511836	Mr J Middleton		CSO15365	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511840	Mr S Hicks		CSO14535	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511850	Mr & Mrs R C Williamson		CSO15390	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I believe the town of Verwood is unprepared for such expansion. Also building on agricultural or woodland is short-sighted, as in long term the country will need land to grow our food. The council should use brown sites as there seems to be quite a few.			1463
511855	Mr Alan Thorn		CSO15403	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		It would be crazy to build 400 new homes without major investment in the infrastructure, with only one road in and out of Verwood and not enough doctors and dentists and only one major supermarket for 15,000 people. If the government took responsibility for building affordable housing you would only need to build 180 new homes not the current 400 proposed. This would work out cheaper in the long run as you would not have to make major investment in the infrastructure.			1463
511868	J Weedon		CSO15463	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Any increase in housing in Verwood has an impact on the traffic through West Moors. No consideration has been given to this. Improved			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							infrastructure is needed before housing developments.			
511870	Margaret Waddicor		CSO14558	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511872	Ms Debbie Boyes/Osborne		CSO14551	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511878	Mr Paul Seymour		CSO15504	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I support limited development around the Trinity school area as the road system has been 'improved' in that area. I believe a small development would have no further detriment to the area. I do not support any further large scale development in Verwood or infilling of green field in Howe Lane as the area is already 'busy' with existing houses and traffic for the schools.			1463
511881	Mr R Brookes		CSO14570	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Traffic to the airport should be via the Bournemouth spur road using a newly constructed road which the airport owners should make a very large contribution to the cost.			1463
511883	Mr Anthony Houghton		CSO14571	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511888	Mr and Mrs M Hayter		CSO14588	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511896	Mr David Elrick		CSO14598	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511903	Mr Gordon Macrae		CSO14616	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511904	Sue Budd and Sue Pearson		CSO14625	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511905	Mrs S Lanham		CSO14615	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511916	Mr Craig Baker		CSO14635	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511926	Mr John Beesley		CSO14657	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
511932	Mrs J Beardsley		CSO14661	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511934	Mr and Mrs D A Wilkinson		CSO14660	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511936	Mrs G Anstey		CSO16995	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511937	Mr R Pogson		CSO14684	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511938	Mr R Stoney		CSO14679	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511940	A C and K G Sherman		CSO14682	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511953	Mr and Mrs Alan and Julie Ridout		CSO14693	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511960	Mr E I Pease		CSO14711	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511964	Mrs		CSO14710	Preferred	Object		Church Lane can barely cope with the traffic at			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Sue Cornell			Option TA 1			the moment, trying to get out of this lane in the mornings is awful. The volume of traffic and the jams caused when there are road works brings the area to a standstill. I imagine all the developers will be building all their houses way before any improvement to the road structure is complete. Have you seen what happens when an accident on the spur road involves redirecting traffic this way.			
511969	Mrs Pamela H James		CSO14717	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
511978	Mr Cummings		CSO14727	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
511979	Mrs Browning Smith		CSO14728	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
511997	Mr Tom Saville		CSO14811	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512007	Mr Neil Hayward		CSO14756	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512014	Mr R P Perrior		CSO14767	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512016	Mrs Sue Willcocks		CSO14777	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The transport infrastructure must be given priority and needs to be implemented before any development starts.			1463
512019	Mr C Sargeant		CSO14787	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512030	Marg A Smith		CSO14798	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
512034	Mr R.J Jerrett		CSO14806	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		This area needs more affordable houses and more jobs as most people in this area are not local and have moved from away, I cannot see how they can object. We need a new bridge at Longham also local road improvements before some of the work starts i.e. Parley Cross roundabout etc.			1463
512057	Mr Trevor Davies	Headteacher Pamphill First School	CSO14843	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512069	Mr and Mrs Stephen Rideout		CSO14828	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Developers contributing to improvements would have no benefits as to widen Christchurch Road would infringe on green belt.			1463
512072	Mr W B Chung		CSO14833	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512083	Mrs D.C Morse-Boycott		CSO14844	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512095	Mr Bennett		CSO14862	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512098	Mr and Mrs D and A Burch		CSO14864	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512099	Mrs P E Gaskin		CSO14865	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512110	Mrs Felicity Prince		CSO14875	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512113	Mr Steve Kitson		CSO14880	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
512123	Mrs		CSO14901	Preferred		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M Masterman			Option TA 1						
512125	Mrs Julie Long		CSO14912	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512126	Mr and Mrs P Taylor		CSO14897	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512131	Mr and Mrs S Turner		CSO14917	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512132	Mr J.E Wells		CSO14911	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512134	Mrs Anthea Scott		CSO14922	Preferred Option TA 1		General Comment	Of course developers should bear the brunt of costs - the extra traffic would be considerable			1463
512145	Mr & Mrs J Dutton		CSO14935	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Verwood does not have the infrastructure to support any big development of houses, i.e. – shops are insufficient in the village, Morrisons is far too small to cope with the population as it is now. Also doctors surgeries could not cope and what about water and sewage? Could you open the old railway line – what a boom this would be for our busy roads!			1463
512151	Mr S Adams		CSO14937	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512175	Mr Noel Baugh		CSO15150	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The larger concern is one of a village infrastructure (Verwood) through shops and facilities (lack of) supporting a population of 17000 already. Look at Ringwood. Its no greater population but has a better 'high street'. A larger Morrisons will not alter this per se..			1463
512176	Mr Adrian		CSO14946	Preferred Option TA	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Newton			1						
512188	Mrs K North		CSO14954	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512277	Mr and Mrs T Hobson		CSO14982	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512281	Mr Graham Roberts		CSO14964	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512315	Mr Roger J Heath		CSO15026	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512316	Mr Peter Willgress		CSO15031	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512325	Mr DM Morse-Boycott		CSO15042	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512332	Mr John Neal		CSO15066	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512343	J Spink		CSO15082	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Waitrose Car Park is 2 hours maximum, no use to people working in Wimborne/visiting for the day.			1463
512344	Mr M Wyeth		CSO15084	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
512347	Mr A MacGregor		CSO15080	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I have no particular view on any of these proposed developments, except to point out that the transport network will not cope without a vast improvement on the roads. Public transport may be acceptable for single point to point trips in the urban situation, but there is no substitute for the use of a car for the vast majority of trips.			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
512351	Mr J.R Warnock		CSO15094	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512354	Mr David Little		CSO15119	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512359	Mr J Robertson		CSO15113	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512360	Mr Richard Acres		CSO15112	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512373	Mr and Mrs J Lund		CSO15129	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512399	Mr and Mrs RD Kirby		CSO15135	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
512406	Mr and Mrs PE and JA Coward		CSO15141	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
512431	Mr & Mrs Lee		CSO15168	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		No further development of Verwood should take place until improvements have been made to facilities available to existing residents. Transport links, health facilities, shopping and work places are sadly lacking and its short sighted of council to propose putting pressure on these. Keep Verwood as a small town where it is currently a pleasant place to live. Leave us alone.			1463
512437	Mr E Andrews		CSO15158	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
512459	Sandra Davis		CSO15169	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
512505	Ms Linda Dawson		CSO15177	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512557	Ms Karen French		CSO15182	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		It is a 'catch 22' situation in that in order to have a new secondary school we need new housing. The main concern is the lack of facilities for an increased population. Although Verwood is a town it has the feel and facilities of a village.			1463
512586	Mr D.B Thwaites		CSO15205	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512599	Mr R J Miles		CSO15213	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
512613	Mr N F and Mrs J Burchell		CSO15221	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513015	Mr Adrian Roscoe		CSO15252	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
513028	Mrs M Wilson		CSO15268	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513033	Mr Jonathan Hoyle		CSO15325	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513034	Mrs Cheryl Walters		CSO15280	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513063	Mr I Pearson		CSO15301	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
513091	Mr Paul Tompkins		CSO15312	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
513126	Mr S.J Breeze		CSO15333	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		The conurbation needs a major road upgrade via Highways Agency approval funding not a local sticking plaster funded on the back of further congestion.			1463
513149	Mrs A.R.E Seaton		CSO15527	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
513499	Mrs D Thomas		CSO15383	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
513509	Mr Roy Brodie		CSO15411	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513545	Mr and Mrs J H Butcher		CSO15395	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
513581	Nicola Beesley		CSO15416	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I object to the proposals, however if the developments do go ahead in Verwood I would expect the developers to contribute to transport improvements.			1463
513617	Mrs Valerie J Brown		CSO15426	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513639	Mr and Mrs D J A Kirby		CSO15489	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513651	Mrs W Pearsall		CSO15451	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		1 Increase bus frequency and to Wimborne. More buses on Saturdays and Sundays. 1 per hour is not sufficient. 2 Increase transport to all hospitals, Bournemouth, Poole, St Leonards, Wimborne, Salisbury and Southampton. 3 Verwood needs direct links to all railway stations and airports. 4 Verwood needs allotments. Council land (including County Council) needs to be immediately available at an economic rate.			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Suggest land parallel to Potterne Way alongside river. land being auctioned on Potterne Way. Part of land VWM 4 in flooding area away from river. 5 VWM4 Access via Manor Rd and St Michaels Lane. Already congested and impeded vision around new gas station. Suggest small roundabout junction these 2 roads. Would slow traffic on this dangerous road and be safer for school children. Supports TA1 on Employment Options Leaflet.			
513671	Mr and Mrs G Green		CSO15481	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Unless we get infrastructure in place first Verwood cannot sustain more homes in such high numbers. Our facilities at present are used by other villages too and what we have here is not nearly enough. Doctors, dentists, shop, a garage, leisure facilities are really inadequate now and would not be able to support more homes. More traffic will be generated, so roads more congested and public transport is nigh on unavailable at times now.			1463
513692	Mrs A Willis		CSO15488	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513880	Mr Derek Martin		CSO15517	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		No development without infrastructure including road improvement. Station road can not carry any further increase in traffic. Developers must pay for this first before any building.			1463
513881	Mrs S Bagg		CSO15555	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513883	Mr West		CSO15529	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
513891	Mr RA Parsons		CSO15544	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Leave Verwood as it is. Give us more sensible shops and better roads and the allotments promised 20 years ago. We			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							cannot keep up with the housing we already have. No more.			
513897	Mrs Elizabeth Dawkins		CSO15565	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513900	Mr P Wall		CSO15630	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Unfortunately more development more movement. A comprehensive transport policy should be developed e.g. road improvement (A31), bus and trams, rail links (restored).			1463
513915	Mrs PA Parsons		CSO15579	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I object to any more houses in Verwood. We have very little in shops less now than 20 years ago. Roads need a lot of attention. No concessions for allotments-if green spaces found for housing why could you not find green space for allotments. Disgrace to you East Dorset Council.			1463
513923	Mrs M Fraser		CSO15596	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		In the early morning till around 9am and late afternoon till about 6.30pm all around West Parley to New Road roundabout and up to Ferndown the traffic is awful. How on earth will anyone manage with these extra houses . No amount of transport improvements will help this, when the A338 is closed it will be and already is horrendous. I am on the flight path, this does not bother me all that dreadful traffic does.			1463
513924	Mrs A F Langford		CSO15584	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
513943	Mrs P Reeves		CSO15672	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
359891	Mrs Susanne Parkin		CSO18375	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
360302	Mrs	Chairperson	CSO18288	Preferred	Support		Supported for the reasons given in the			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Hilary Chittenden	Environment TAG (East Dorset)		Option TA 1			consultation document on why it is the preferred option.			
361026	Mr Steve Hellier	Network Planning Manager Highways Agency	CSO17790	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		As a signatory to the Dorset Transport MoU, we would support the Preferred Option TA1 to pursue a tariff based approach to the collection of developer contributions for transport improvements. We agree that S106 agreements should continue to have a role as part of this approach			1463
361028	Ms Helen Patton	Head of Policy and Plans New Forest National Park Authority	CSO18946	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The Authority supports all efforts that are made to seek funding and obtain developer contributions to enable the link road to be built. Partnership working between the authorities is essential in order to ensure that an integrated transport infrastructure is put in place.			1463
478398	Mrs Diana Saville		CSO17691	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
513954	Mr RW Heseltine		CSO15633	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513974	Mr A Moore		CSO15852	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
513988	Mr & Mrs S.G Smith		CSO15664	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		It is absolutely essential for new road to be forthcoming if new school proceeds. In House Lane, let alone the further housing planned.			1463
514007	Ms Emily Allan		CSO15692	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
514014	Mr Colin Cable		CSO15712	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
514042	Mr Tony		CSO15768	Preferred Option TA	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Davison			1						
514049	Mrs E Hellier		CSO15761	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514078	Mr G D Hart		CSO15767	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
514086	Mr Ian Pearson		CSO15787	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
514091	Mr RJ Potts		CSO15885	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514092	Ms Natasha Saville		CSO15820	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
514099	Mr and Mrs T C Blakeley		CSO15876	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514100	Mrs Eileen Gibbs		CSO15878	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The infrastructure in Verwood is not sufficient to accommodate all the extra cards and people.			1463
514111	Penelope Webiery		CSO15960	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
514121	Mr David Dencher		CSO15909	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Any development should have improvement in the road network.			1463
514127	Mr Geoff Voisey		CSO15915	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Casterbridge Rd is still not adopted nearly 10 years later. Roads are too narrow and no visitor parking. Developers will never deliver appropriate facilities.			1463
514135	Mr and Mrs S		CSO15925	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Farr			1						
514136	Mrs J Crumb		CSO15975	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514225	Mr Simon Dixon	Secretary West Moors Traders Association	CSO16034	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Any developer contribution to transport improvements have to be at a sensible level or they will not develop schemes etc.			1463
514246	Theresa Monahan & Jonathon Chaffey		CSO16028	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514274	Mr Showell		CSO16110	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Instead of new housing there should be a new strategy of providing more public open space. The lessons of the Edwardians/Victorians should be learnt. New public parks like Poole Park, Queens Park and Kings Park save an area from urban crowding. Perhaps all the land proposed for housing could be reallocated to public parks.			1463
514344	Ms Emily Saville		CSO16124	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Don't ruin our green belt. Too many houses in Verwood already – not enough facilities to support this!			1463
514355	Mr T Gee		CSO16137	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
514450	Mr and Mrs P Webb		CSO16178	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514455	Mrs Christine Groves		CSO16171	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		There are enough homes and people in Verwood and enough traffic on our roads.			1463
514467	Mrs Lesley Cripps		CSO16219	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
514482	C E T Gilbert		CSO16263	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
514499	Mrs S H Chung		CSO16231	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514504	Mr and Mrs B Gibbs		CSO16255	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514649	Mrs June Sawyer		CSO16330	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
514674	Mrs J Williams		CSO16347	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514703	Mr S Harvey		CSO16442	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The village has enough housing stock already and is too densely populated with a need for a better public transport network that operates beyond the hours of 6pm! We need to build a secondary school and more shops offering local goods and not just estate agents!			1463
514752	Claire Richardson & Jamie Shirley		CSO16405	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
514812	Mr C Sawyer		CSO16441	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
514912	Mrs Mary Carsbury		CSO16517	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
514913	Mr and Mrs M Clark		CSO16482	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
514993	Dr Peter J		CSO16586	Preferred Option TA		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Hardwick			1						
515033	Mr T Crump		CSO16622	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		These proposals are typical of the failures contrived by Council and Consultants to address short term solutions to Government edict. Fundamental to any significant further development is the need for joined up thinking for transportation. All of these proposals further the burden on traffic flow through and around conurbation centres. These major roads are the responsibility of the government highways department. They should foot the bill for their improvements prior to further development.			1463
515086	Mrs Elaine Pugh		CSO16627	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
515114	Mr M J Porter		CSO16641	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
515148	Mr E G Howarth		CSO16646	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Until it is clear how the infrastructure to support additional housing is to be provided it is not possible to support any of these proposals. Will the type of housing result in increased anti-social behaviour?			1463
515255	Mr and Mrs C Beck		CSO16658	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		No problem with extra homes being built if facilities are increased – i.e. 1) Medical facilities – another surgery, more doctors, (we already have to wait for appointments!) nurses, dentists, opticians, chiropodists 2) Transport improvements 3) Extra police 4) Extra shops, larger post office and library 5) Leisure facilities – especially swimming pool 6) Elderly retirement homes			1463
515287	Mr L Jackson		CSO16701	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The A31 trunk road from Ferndown by-pass should be widened to form a dual carriageway all the way through to Bere Regis.			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
515354	Mr Walker		CSO16718	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
515367	Mr & Mrs J Pottinger		CSO16834	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
515479	Mr D Lenehan		CSO16726	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
515763	Mr V S Harris		CSO16804	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion	Transport improvements should be funded by cutting out unnecessary expenditure on street lighting and superfluous signage.			1463
515786	Mrs A M Bright		CSO16836	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		As a recent inhabitant of Verwood I am happy to support plans which will enable others to move to a town I have grown to love. It would be selfish to try to keep the town just for those of us already here. However I do not support the large development as I see the influx of over 2000 people creating a number of problems. Large developments seldom encourage community spirit and many house buyers are reluctant to purchase on an estate with large proportion of social housing. We do not need more empty properties. I am also concerned that without improvement to our infrastructure (more schools, shops, etc) Verwood may become ever more of a dormitory town.			1463
515788	Mrs J M May		CSO17140	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
516313	Mr Julian Humphries		CSO16945	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
517528	Dr Susan Burton		CSO16988	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
517572	Mr		CSO17006	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	D Alexander			Option TA 1						
517590	Mr & Mrs Pitman		CSO17020	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
517600	Mrs M Papadopulo		CSO17023	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
517692	Mr K Sullivan		CSO17044	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
517818	LT. CDR R Bennett RNR RETD.		CSO17241	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		The ratio of affordable homes is a major concern 400 extra dwellings will put further overload onto public services including sewage and drain systems. This number of dwellings will introduce at least an extra 1000 people + around 600 cars to the area. All this when we have virtually lost our fire service and police station Edmondsham Road still has no lighting, no footpath and no drains, how's that for progress.			1463
517835	Mr G D Rodrigues		CSO17247	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
518000	Mr L Brebner		CSO17279	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		All developments bring increased traffic, Ferndown is already gridlocked 80% of the day due to inaction by the Council and it's refusal to divert traffic away from Longham Bridge. Any development of land in Ferndown / W. Parley will only add to the existing problem which is already growing.			1463
518027	Mr J Talbot		CSO17303	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
518035	Mr Peter Pugh		CSO17296	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
518095	Mrs M R Owen		CSO17318	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
518303	Mr P R Owen		CSO17358	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
518433	Mr Chris Holmes		CSO17376	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
518812	Mrs L Munn		CSO17391	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
518895	Mr Mark Gifford		CSO17409	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Verwood is already overcrowded with housing. There are not enough local facilities to support the population; we need pubs, restaurants, better transport links and better facilities for younger people. There is no employment in the area; more houses will just increase the "dormitory" feel to the town. The road system cannot cope with the traffic at peak times. We already need a secondary school as the number of children in the town is increasing – QE and Ferndown will not cope with future demand + a local secondary school would be a real benefit to the community. No more housing in Verwood please.			1463
518905	Mrs Burbidge		CSO17421	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Over the last 30 years Verwood has expanded hugely, facilities have not increased, with intention to provide more affordable homes, hence families, surely have a plan to incorporate a indoor recreational facility aimed at the youth, with a refreshment area, affordable for youths, the hub is only ok for older people which is why hundreds of kids congregate at the park opposite Tesco express Verwood in winter + summer. Come on council think again wisely!			1463
519265	Mrs Pat Morrow		CSO17433	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I am in favour, in principal, of the proposed developments provided that: 1) The infrastructure needed is in place before			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							building. 2) That the transport situation is improved. 3) That parking in the town centre is reversed and all day parking is prohibited. The development of a park and ride system could be available for those wishing to use free bus passes – A Blake a possibility. 4) That facilities – doctors, dentists, shops (not estate agents) land uses – are affordable.			
519368	Mr Frederick Iley		CSO17439	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
519400	Mrs Bailey		CSO17530	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I moved to Verwood 23 years ago. Now everywhere is packed solid with shoppers at the only supermarket, up to 5 years ago you could get a doctor's appointment within a day, now because of the already great volume of people it's a week or more. The roads are full opposite my house, the evenings and weekends "Close" is like a parking lot only one garage for petrol unless you can get in Ringwood or Wimborne. No Verwood cannot cope now, so no more buildings please.			1463
519890	Mrs Christine Iley		CSO17617	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
360977	Mr Nick Solomon		CSO20752	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
490854	Mr D Mutton		CSO20013	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
520671	Mr P Hancy		CSO17658	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
520737	Mr S		CSO17672	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	New			1						
520927	Ms I Jenson		CSO17683	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
520965	Mrs J Lake		CSO17696	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18057	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		S106 agreements must prioritise affordable housing and green infrastructure to encourage alternate means of travel. The best way of mitigating the negative effects of development on our over crowded transport system is less development.			1463
521457	Mr and Mrs M Daymond		CSO17847	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Developers should contribute to transport improvements if this means roads, but they should also contribute to additional facilities. They should not contribute to subsidising bus services.			1463
359284	Miss Lynne Evans	Consultant Southern Planning Practice	CSO18427	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Objection is raised to a tariff based approach which would not meet the provisions of Regulation 122 of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations.	Policy approach need to be reconsidered.		1463
522257	Mr Richard Beaman		CSO18107	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
523300	Mr Trevor Abbott		CSO18316	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
527501	Eve Thompson		CSO18787	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
527512	Eric Thompson		CSO18803	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
527661	W Barnes		CSO18859	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				1						
527740	Mrs Anne Parsons		CSO18896	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion	4. TA1 how could developers contribute to transport improvements other than roads - its up to Wilts and Dorset Buses to put on extra public transport.			1463
527750	Mr Colin MacNee		CSO18944	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
527849	Miss Kate Tunks	Transport Planning Officer Dorset County Council	CSO19071	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		This option is supported. The majority of development will occur within the area covered by the SEDMMTS and the SED transport contributions policy. It is important that development mitigates its negative cumulative impact on the transport network through the payment of funds via the SED contributions policy. This funding will be used towards implementation of the SED transport strategy. Provision in whole and / or a contribution towards footways, cycleways, junction and public transport improvements will be sought from all development.			1463
533834	Mr Tim Harris		CSO19240	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
533862	Mr Paul Holman		CSO19225	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
534808	M Ward		CSO19419	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Greenbelt land should be sacrosanct because once lost it will be lost forever. New homes are needed but brownfield sites should be fully used (and by brownfield I would exclude garden grab). Developers who make profit from a local area should be made to contribute much more to local provisions (not just transport) i.e. leisure, school and community centres.			1463
534820	Mr Paul		CSO19460	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Batten			1						
534833	Frederick Wall		CSO19474	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
534837	Mrs P Martin		CSO19514	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
534875	Mr Brian Lane		CSO19557	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
534877	Mr Roger Owen		CSO19549	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
534883	K L Ward		CSO19572	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
534914	Mrs P Froud		CSO19714	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535055	Mr R Knox		CSO19605	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535070	Mr Alan Reade		CSO19642	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535112	Mr Jack Tindall		CSO19727	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535167	Mrs Lynda Lake		CSO19780	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535173	Mr Andrew Robins		CSO19743	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535176	Mrs Krithia Blaker		CSO19748	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
535209	Mr P Webster		CSO19809	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535349	P Thomas		CSO19850	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535368	Mr Andrew Evans		CSO19997	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I think that this whole core strategy consultation needs a full review in light of the proposed changes being brought in under the new government. I particularly object to all the proposed Verwood development as the infrastructure is not in place to support the current population let alone adding even further to it by building a further 400 homes. Any EDDC councillor who has the affront to say that the infrastructure is in place to support this development does not know what they are talking about and obviously do not live in the town.			1463
535372	Mrs Carol Weaver		CSO19863	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535387	Mr Brian Cox		CSO20039	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535393	Mr Jeremy Berg		CSO19929	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		ROADS, ROADS, ROADS, BEFORE YOU BUILD ANYWHERE & DESTROY EVERYONES WAY OF LIFE FOREVER, BUILD ROADS & BY PASSES.			1463
535421	Mr Roland Andrews		CSO20617	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535500	David Veevers		CSO20040	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
360768	Cllr. Mr David Packer	Colehill West Ward East Dorset District Council	CSO21265	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361024	Mr & Mrs Kenny Pearce		CSO22127	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
361288	Mrs Ruth DOLLERSON		CSO21501	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
475508	Mr and Mrs Marshall		CSO21828	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		We live in West Parley and the transport infrastructure (especially along Hurn Road, Christchurch Road, Ringwood Road and New Road) is already struggling to support the volume of daily traffic. There are always hold-ups along Hurn Road (by the airport), which will become more frequent once the traffic lights are installed at the junction into the airport (being done at present). Longham Bridge and the bends through Longham along Ringwood Road is a notoriously dangerous stretch of road, which is not helped by the ever increasing amount of heavy lorries which use it as a 'short cut' to Poole Ferry Terminal instead of using the Wimborne by-pass because that is also not up to the task, being single carriageway and having both Merley & Canford Bottom roundabouts unable to cope with the shear volume of traffic coming onto them. New Road (which is the main route in & out of Bournemouth for local people) also struggles with traffic, especially during the rush hour periods. When absurd? We do not need developers trying to 'sweeten the pill' with new facilities at West Parley, which are not needed or wanted. I would much rather see the present shopping facilities at Parley Cross and the Children's park by the Memorial Hall improved for the benefit of the local community. Small offices are not required - there are lots of such offices / industrial units in Ferndown which remain empty. We believe the impact of any development would detrimentally			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							change the character of the village of West Parley and therefore do not support the proposed developments.			
482494	Mrs Yvette Jones		CSO21943	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		. Big ugly road 'improvements' of vast junctions and multiple signs, lights and barriers (and no doubt ugly black cycle lanes to 'help the environment') will be equally unwelcome by many who chose this area because of its softer appearance.			1463
498402	Mrs T Hughes		CSO22251	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
518009	Mr D Heather		CSO21046	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535504	Mr Michael Beer		CSO20115	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535509	Mrs S Durant		CSO20149	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
535517	Mr Roy Rich		CSO20108	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
535547	Mr M Folland		CSO20247	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535550	Mrs D Mogg		CSO20199	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535567	Ms Judy McMath		CSO20250	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
535574	Mr and Mrs Ralph		CSO20271	Preferred Option TA	Object		Our Comments relate to FWP1,2,3, and 5 also TA1 Transport Issues. We strongly object to any			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Williams			1			further development In West Parley as it is totally Unsustainable. All five housing options will add to the major congestion that all ready completely bring the area to a standstill most weekday mornings and evenings from the A348 at Longham all of the B3073 through Parley traffic lights past the Airport to the Blackwater junction on the A338 and beyond. In the other direction crossing Parley traffic lights on New Road the A347 the traffic again stands form the junction at the Porsche Garage to the Ensbury Park roundabout junction with the A341 and beyond with standing traffic in both directions. This traffic currently adds major pollution to the local environment thats with-out the impact of the Airport which adds also considerable noise particularly at night. These five sites will add a considerable amount of traffic which no contribution from developers will improve the si d the introduction of families is not a reason to allow any of these site to be developed. Please advise that you have received this email and read. Both planner and local councillors need to be more sensible in the approach to new housing in the Ferndown area it needs far more consideration and is now in conflict with government planning policy. To put people on to green field site as an easy option, Do the job properly, work on other sites that are sustainable.			
535591	A Walker		CSO20305	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
535618	Mrs Angela Robinson		CSO20343	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		Transport Issues: It is inappropriate to tie transport improvements to those with a vested interest in a development going forward. There should be clear separation between these activities.			1463
535662	Dianne Trevett		CSO20397	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535670	Mr Dave Allen		CSO20424	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535678	Mr Andrew Bryant		CSO20452	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
535688	Mrs Susan Hobbs		CSO20542	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535695	Mr Robin Peirce		CSO20470	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
535701	Mr Andy Skeats		CSO20499	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		PC7 & TA1 SSSI site, proposal would have detrimental effect on the site. Transportation, a31 is already insufficient to carry peak traffic this would make this situation worse. Wrong Area for this type of scale of development.			1463
535718	Mr Richard Green		CSO20517	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
535815	Mrs C M Davies		CSO20569	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535836	Mr Peter Parsons		CSO20596	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535865	Mr D.C Bryson		CSO20662	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535875	Mr John Kitchenside		CSO20703	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535907	Mr Christopher Baxendale		CSO20765	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535935	Mr Peter J Medler		CSO20862	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535940	Mrs Linda Medler		CSO20788	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
535948	Mr David Hadden		CSO20794	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535954	Mary Ford		CSO20799	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			1463
535964	Mr Steven Old		CSO20813	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535965	Harry Ford		CSO20807	Preferred Option TA 1	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			1463
535972	Mr Ronald Cherrett		CSO20821	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
535986	Mrs Angela Cherrett		CSO20836	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536014	Mrs Dawn Tindall		CSO20921	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536046	Mr		CSO20907	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	L Appleton			Option TA 1						
536050	Mr Andrew Collin		CSO20934	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		It is important to have a policy of continuous improvement for housing and employment for the area. Many are concerned for our environment, sensitive and empathic development will take care of this, but to do nothing will benefit no one in the longer term. A mixture of traditional and affordable homes is desirable, backed by a development in industrial and business land and premises availability. It is important to maintain and improve all areas of housing and commercial opportunity to invest in the future of our area for all parts of the community, the young, the aspiring as well as the established and retired members. Establishing the right mix is essential for a sustainable future in our community.			1463
536096	Mrs Katie Lacey		CSO20953	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536129	Mr Peter Houghton		CSO20988	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
536165	Mrs Margaret Parker		CSO21002	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536169	Mr Tony Trevis-Bell		CSO21041	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536220	Mrs J Heather		CSO21072	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536252	Mrs Pamela Jakes		CSO21078	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
536257	Mr Graham		CSO21100	Preferred Option TA	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Jakes			1						
536259	Sandy Stockwell		CSO21126	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536271	Mrs D Holt		CSO21243	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
536282	Mrs Doreen Kingaby		CSO21237	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
536324	Paul Sumner		CSO21331	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536332	Ms Emmanuelle Hutton		CSO21282	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
536341	Mr Adrian Bowyer		CSO21341	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536342	Ms Lily Hutton		CSO21348	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
536349	Mrs C Bowyer		CSO21402	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536351	Mr John Hutton		CSO21398	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
536449	Mr Dave Isaacs		CSO21470	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536543	Mrs Samantha Fysh		CSO21555	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
536572	Mr Roger Fysh		CSO21604	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
536576	Mrs Valerie Green		CSO21619	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
536628	Mr C.A Wills		CSO21688	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536692	Richard Coulthard		CSO21752	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536699	Ms Kathryn D'Arcy		CSO21799	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536702	Mr Mark Hirst		CSO21783	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536790	David Steadman		CSO21912	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536807	Paul Dayson-Smith		CSO21959	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536808	Ms Yvette Allen		CSO22034	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536812	Mr R H Barker		CSO22008	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536817	Cheryl Evans		CSO22063	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536830	Mrs		CSO22090	Preferred	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Janet Sutcliffe			Option TA 1						
536840	Mr Clive Evans		CSO22062	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536848	Ola Steadman		CSO22164	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
536850	Mr Michael Hird		CSO22176	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536860	Mrs Sparks		CSO22195	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536866	Ms Emma Huns		CSO22230	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
536928	Mr Kevin Fyles		CSO22284	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
536930	Mrs Susannah Spencer		CSO22324	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		I also support Employment Options KS13 and BA1-11. For further crucial comments please see the PDF File "Response to EDDC Options for Wimborne and Colehill" emailed to Judy Windwood on 13th January 2011.			1463
536932	Mr Paul Bason		CSO22322	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
537003	Mr Peter Goodwin		CSO22367	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
537050	Diane Fletcher		CSO22424	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
361323	Mr John Dacke		CSO22990	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
361342	Mr Graham Clarke	Spatial Planning Officer Dorset County Council	CSO22836	Preferred Option TA 1		General Comment	In terms of delivery, the role of developer contributions and tariffs is a matter which will need to be addressed to reflect the latest Government policy on the issue . A tariff-based system using the CIL will be a critical delivery mechanism for transport infrastructure, for which the County Council has a key responsibility. (See also comments on Options LN 19 and 20)			1463
490829	Mr D Cleary		CSO22863	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
497343	Sharon Sutcliffe		CSO22900	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501492	Mr Colin Tyler		CSO22901	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
501513	Mr O E Beverley		CSO22861	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
507737	Mrs S Philpot		CSO22800	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
514507	Mr and Mrs C Macy		CSO22778	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
521118	Mr Alan Spencer		CSO22750	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
537075	Mrs Elaine Holt		CSO22458	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
537106	Mrs C Hebditch		CSO22489	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
537126	Mrs Heather Nisbet		CSO22500	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
537362	Mr Peter Constable		CSO22548	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
537435	Ms Pauline Burton		CSO22575	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
537529	Mrs C White		CSO22601	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
537562	Mr Dan Cartmale		CSO22622	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
537571	Mr and Mrs N Leatherdale		CSO22653	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
537762	Mrs Peggy Marshall		CSO22676	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
538210	Mr and Mrs Peter Griffiths		CSO22732	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
539805	Mr Philpot		CSO22807	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
583759	Mr A Craddock and Mrs D Goodenough		CSO22958	Preferred Option TA 1	Support		Fully			1463

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
610626	Mr and Mrs Hamilton		CSO23001	Preferred Option TA 1	Support					1463
612481	Mr Christopher Holden		CSO23009	Preferred Option TA 1		No Opinion				1463
626227	Mr and Mrs J Parsons		CSO23017	Preferred Option TA 1	Object					1463
361044	Mr John Nichols		CSO22	Preferred Option TA 3	Support		Park and Ride (P & R) is added to this paragraph as an afterthought instead of it being number one on the list. Other towns and cities have very successfully introduced P & R it would also fit into the light railway vision.			1468
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO596	Preferred Option TA 3	Object		I am unsure what is meant by "parking policies". If this includes increases in parking charges in town centres then I would object because it would penalise people who travel from the outskirts of town to work and have no other suitable alternative means of travelling to work. Park and Ride facilities are often sited on Greenfield areas and I would strongly object to this and, furthermore Park and Ride facilities would not reduce car use. I would not want to see car parking facilities reduced in town centres; I consider that this would not only be bad for business but penalise those living in the more remote settlements of East Dorset who have to use their cars in order to reach their place of work			1468
474490	Mrs Sheila Bourton	Chairman Keep Wimborne Green	CSO914	Preferred Option TA 3		General Comment	We cannot support or object because the somewhat vague "managing car parking levels" does not give enough information on the plans. If car parking charges were increased it would penalize those living in remote areas to their workplace who do not have a suitable alternative to the use of their cars. If a Park and Ride system were to be introduced, Keep Wimborne Green would not wish to see any Greenbelt/Greenfield			1468

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							land used for this purpose; in any case, Park & Ride does not keep cars off the road, it only reduces car numbers in town centres. Keep Wimborne Green would not want to see any road charging schemes as, this again, would penalize those living in remote areas to their employment.			
359636	Mr Chris Bartlett		CSO2623	Preferred Option TA 3	Support		Only support this option as it is the only one that includes Park and ride. Park and ride should really have been added to TA4.			1468
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2419	Preferred Option TA 3	Object		Car parks are used as a cash cow to raise funds rather than as a management tool to aid retail and commercial success.			1468
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2590	Preferred Option TA 3	Support		Probably not an issue for Verwood, but for larger towns the idea that "In the long term, the introduction of Park and Ride sites could be implemented in order to release town centre land for community and business uses." is attractive.			1468
497947	Mr Guy Brooker		CSO2549	Preferred Option TA 3	Object		The inclusion of the 'long-term' vision of Park & Ride seems wholly out of keeping with the size of any of the towns in the area and with the likely distance that cars have travelled to them. Park & Ride is suited to large towns and cities when journeys to them are long and the purpose of the visit is sightseeing.	Remove last paragraph.		1468
498027	Mr Mark Keighley	Business Development Manager Bournemouth Transport Ltd	CSO3323	Preferred Option TA 3	Support		The Core Strategy could consider in the short term identifying any under-utilised car parking space or spare land on key routes, which could be used to act as a mini Park and Ride (utilising existing bus services) in the key holiday season to reduce congestion in the town centre. Option TA 3 is definitely preferred over TA 4, as the latter would do nothing to promote public transport, reduce car use and congestion and improve the environment.			1468
360245	Mr Richard	Landscape and Planning Advisor	CSO18824	Preferred Option TA	Object	General Comment	The parking policies as currently proposed, particularly TA 3, therefore appear to mitigate			1468

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Burden	Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB		3			<p>against rural inhabitants and to put them at a distinct disadvantage. The AONB has long been pointing out that there is a need for affordable parking in urban centres and at transport nodes that link to urban centres to enable those that come from the rural hinterland to access facilities. We do, therefore, feel that the limitations on parking in urban centres, whilst seeking to reduce personal vehicle use within those urban centres, is a strong disincentive for people from the rural areas to visit and use the facilities of the urban areas. We would, therefore, strongly recommend that this policy option is revisited with a view to taking account of the rural inhabitants of the District.</p> <p>In line with this approach the AONB has consistently been commenting in response to transportation consultations that affordable parking should be made available at key points along routes such as the A354 to enable rural residents to leave their vehicles and catch the relative frequent public transport services between Blandford and Salisbury. A similar provision would be appropriate in connection with the public transport routes that utilise the B3081 and B3078.</p>			
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18289	Preferred Option TA 3	Support		<p>We support proposals to monitor car parking both within town centre car parks and in adjacent streets. Proposals in the Core Strategy could result in short term changes and it would be a mistake to assume that a reduction in use will be permanent. The impact of reducing spaces on town centre business should be assessed and discussed with retailers. Some communities are faced with inadequate parking provision at medical and shopping centres. In encouraging reduction of car use, the needs of young families, the elderly and disabled should not be neglected. Because the Local Transport Plan consultation document has only just been published there has not been time to assess the implications for East Dorset's parking. This should be reviewed and taken into consideration prior to taking decisions</p>			1468

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							on proposals to be taken forward to the next stage of the Core Strategy. The Department for CLG announced on 3.1.11 that they now recognise the difficulties created by on-street parking. The provision of parking in new developments will no longer be dictated by central government and is to be determined by local authorities. They have also recommended including electric vehicle charging infrastructure where this does not affect the overall viability of the new development.			
361026	Mr Steve Hellier	Network Planning Manager Highways Agency	CSO17792	Preferred Option TA 3	Support		The Agency supports Preferred Option TA3 relating to the management of car parking as part of a wider transport strategy focussed on sustainable transport improvements.			1468
521118	Mr Alan Spencer		CSO17808	Preferred Option TA 3	Object		The Core Strategy believes that parking has been resolved in the Town Centre by the addition of 213 parking spaces at Waitrose, if this is the case, why is virtually one side of the length of Allenvie Road (and adjacent cul-de-sacs) chock-a-block with cars and vans, during working hours, while the car parks remain underutilised? Parking One of my biggest criticisms of Wimborne is the amount of underutilised parking bays in car parks, compared to the incredible amount of free and permitted curb side parking tolerated in streets leading to the town centre. Clearly something is wrong here regarding car parking charges and the control of curb side parking, and incidentally nothing has changed since the addition of 213 parking spaces at Waitrose. I continue to be surprised that the residents in Allenvie Road have not grouped together and demanded council tax rebates, or at the least that some controls are placed on parking outside of their homes. I would like to address this subject with the options outlined for the Allenvie Area (WMC9 & 10), where I am sure an alternative car parking solution will be necessary. Firstly I am in favour of EDDC Council Offices			1468

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>moving into Wimborne3, but not to Allendale House. I think these should be sited in Westborough, at the old Conservative Club premises. Perhaps there will be an opportunity to repurchase this from Wetherspoons, who seem to be having difficulty putting forward a satisfactory planning application for a public house, and which also seems to be attracting a great deal of protest from local people.9,10,16 As a consequence I am opposed to option WMC9.</p> <p>I like the idea of an undercover Wimborne Food Market selling local Dorset produce close to the Town Centre, can I suggest this might be set up along the lines of a traditional indoor market. I also recognise the attraction of creating a market for bric-a-brac in which local people can bring and buy. Thinking in terms of a thriving community centre much can be learnt from the way Sturminster Newton has created "The Exchange" on its old Cattle Market site14. I therefore believe there is great potential for moving the Market into the Allenvie Area. As a result I am in favour of option WMC10 over that of WMC9. Clearly though parking space would be affected at Allenvie and consequently be at a premium in the town.</p> <p>Obviously we need to consider out of town parking on a park-and-ride basis. This would probably be best situated towards the A31, I suggest near Julians Road Roundabout, which I am sure will attract tourists and visitors alike, to the town. It could be serviced by a more regular and extended Wimborne Circular bus route, or separate mini-buses could ferry passengers between the car park and town along the lines of those provided at Airports. Fees would need to be kept low (say 50%) compared with in town parking costs, which in turn could be increased substantially at peak times for durations greater than 2 hours.</p> <p>I am however convinced that this would drive those people that are averse to paying parking charges further into the suburbs to park outside someone's home. My suggestion for overcoming</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							this problem is to make all streets "Priority" Parking for residents outside of their homes in Wimborne and Colehill. Residents could be issued with Free Parking Permits for their address along with their Council Tax Bills. The scheme could be opened up to a fixed quantity of non-residents, who may work in the locality, for a fee discounted to daily long term parking. As a suggestion I would propose £20 per month. All other parking would then have to be off road in either public or private car parks. This would provide EDDC a further revenue stream. SEE ATTACHMENT			
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18058	Preferred Option TA 3	Object		We can support town centre management of car parks by increasing long stay charging, but do not agree with the reduction in car parking places. To try to eliminate the use of town centre car parks by workers would increase the number of parking spaces available to shoppers. However, it must be remembered that tourists, or locals, visiting a town like Wimborne, may take in morning coffee and lunch as well as sight seeing and retail therapy. If reasonable and easy parking is not available they will stay away, going where they can park. If locals cannot park with reasonable ease for basic food shopping then they will go where they can or visit the internet. Public transport is useful on occasions but not always.			1468
527849	Miss Kate Tunks	Transport Planning Officer Dorset County Council	CSO19074	Preferred Option TA 3		General Comment	The principle of this policy is supported. However government guidance is changing on this issue with the recent announcement of their intention to remove the maximum parking standards in PPG13 (Jan 2011). Parking guidance will be included in the LTP3 and the Core Strategy should refer to this. If necessary, amendments can be made for the next stage of the Core Strategy.			1468
536628	Mr C.A Wills		CSO21689	Preferred Option TA 3		General Comment	. Reduce car parking (cp) AREAS by multi-level cp's no more than 4 floors high. 3. Waitrose cp:- Was provided for the expected INCREASED car			1468

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							parking for extra shoppers coming into Wimborne. This cp should NOT be used as a means of reducing cp area unless by utilising (2) above.			
477183	Mrs Sarah Sumner		CSO173	Non Preferred Option TA 4	Object		Please do not think about building a car park in Verwood, we have an empty one every day as we are a ghost town until everyone comes home from work.			1470
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2591	Non Preferred Option TA 4	Object		Failing to review parking provision embeds our reliance on the car for ever			1470
521118	Mr Alan Spencer		CSO17810	Non Preferred Option TA 4	Object		<p>The Core Strategy believes that parking has been resolved in the Town Centre by the addition of 213 parking spaces at Waitrose, if this is the case, why is virtually one side of the length of Allenview Road (and adjacent cul-de-sacs) chock-a-block with cars and vans, during working hours, while the car parks remain underutilised? Parking</p> <p>One of my biggest criticisms of Wimborne is the amount of underutilised parking bays in car parks, compared to the incredible amount of free and permitted curb side parking tolerated in streets leading to the town centre. Clearly something is wrong here regarding car parking charges and the control of curb side parking, and incidentally nothing has changed since the addition of 213 parking spaces at Waitrose. I continue to be surprised that the residents in Allenview Road have not grouped together and demanded council tax rebates, or at the least that some controls are placed on parking outside of their homes.</p> <p>I would like to address this subject with the options outlined for the Allenview Area (WMC9 & 10), where I am sure an alternative car parking solution will be necessary.</p> <p>Firstly I am in favour of EDDC Council Offices moving into Wimborne3, but not to Allendale House. I think these should be sited in Westborough, at the old Conservative Club</p>			1470

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>premises. Perhaps there will be an opportunity to repurchase this from Wetherspoons, who seem to be having difficulty putting forward a satisfactory planning application for a public house, and which also seems to be attracting a great deal of protest from local people.9,10,16 As a consequence I am opposed to option WMC9.</p> <p>I like the idea of an undercover Wimborne Food Market selling local Dorset produce close to the Town Centre, can I suggest this might be set up along the lines of a traditional indoor market. I also recognise the attraction of creating a market for bric-a-brac in which local people can bring and buy. Thinking in terms of a thriving community centre much can be learnt from the way Sturminster Newton has created "The Exchange" on its old Cattle Market site14. I therefore believe there is great potential for moving the Market into the Allenview Area. As a result I am in favour of option WMC10 over that of WMC9. Clearly though parking space would be affected at Allenview and consequently be at a premium in the town.</p> <p>Obviously we need to consider out of town parking on a park-and-ride basis. This would probably be best situated towards the A31, I suggest near Julians Road Roundabout, which I am sure will attract tourists and visitors alike, to the town. It could be serviced by a more regular and extended Wimborne Circular bus route, or separate mini-buses could ferry passengers between the car park and town along the lines of those provided at Airports. Fees would need to be kept low (say 50%) compared with in town parking costs, which in turn could be increased substantially at peak times for durations greater than 2 hours.</p> <p>I am however convinced that this would drive those people that are averse to paying parking charges further into the suburbs to park outside someone's home. My suggestion for overcoming this problem is to make all streets "Priority" Parking for residents outside of their homes in Wimborne and Colehill. Residents could be</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							issued with Free Parking Permits for their address along with their Council Tax Bills. The scheme could be opened up to a fixed quantity of non-residents, who may work in the locality, for a fee discounted to daily long term parking. As a suggestion I would propose £20 per month. All other parking would then have to be off road in either public or private car parks. This would provide EDDC a further revenue stream. SEE ATTACHMENT			